

**YUBA ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE CHARTER ACADEMY
MEETING
COUNCIL OF DIRECTORS
AGENDA**

**9841 Texas Hill Road
Oregon House, CA
In Person**

June 25, 2026

Regular Meeting start time is 3:15pm

Video call link: <https://meet.google.com/edt-ujnc-ika>

Or dial: (US) +1 636-429-2871 PIN: 745 520 743#

More phone numbers: <https://tel.meet/edt-ujnc-ika?pin=3057554023624>

**INSTRUCTIONS FOR PRESENTATIONS TO
THE COUNCIL BY PARENTS AND CITIZENS**

The Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy (“YES Charter Academy”) welcomes your participation at the School’s Council meetings. The purpose of a public meeting of the Council of Directors (“Council”) is to conduct the affairs of the School in public. We are pleased that you are in attendance and hope that you will visit these meetings often. Your participation assures us of continuing community interest in our School. To assist you in the ease of speaking/participating in our meetings, the following guidelines are provided:

1. Agendas are available to all audience members at the door to the meeting.
2. Blue “Request to Speak” forms are available to all audience members who wish to speak on any agenda items or under the general category of “Oral Communications.”
3. “Oral Communications” is set aside for members of the audience to raise issues that are not specifically on the agenda. However, due to public meeting laws, the Council can only listen to your issue, not respond or take action. These presentations are limited to three (3) minutes and total time allotted to non-agenda items will not exceed fifteen (15) minutes. The Council may give direction to staff to respond to your concern or you may be offered the option of returning with a citizen-requested item.
4. With regard to items that are on the agenda, you may specify that agenda item on your blue request form and you will be given an opportunity to speak for up to five (5) minutes when the Council discusses that item. In accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and related statutes, this body is committed to ensuring meaningful access to all its programs and activities for people with Limited English Proficiency (LEP). If a member of the public requires a language or any other type of interpreter to provide public comment, they will be allotted twice the standard time to account for the need for interpretation.
5. When addressing the Council, speakers are not required to state their name and/or other self identifying information.
6. Citizens may request that a topic related to school business be placed on a future agenda in accordance with the guidelines in the School’s Council BP. Once such an item is properly agendized and publicly noticed, the Council can respond, interact, and act upon the item.
7. Requests for disability-related modifications or accommodations to participate in this public meeting should be made 24 hours prior to the meeting by calling 530-692-2210 or lmiller@yescharteracademy.org. All efforts will be made for reasonable accommodations. The agenda and public documents can be modified upon request as required by Section 202 of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

I. PRELIMINARY

A. CALL TO ORDER:

B. ROLL CALL/ESTABLISH QUORUM

President/Chair	Pam Cook	
Vice President	Wendy Underhill	
Chief Financial Officer	Paul McGovern	
Secretary	Deborah Hoerner	
Staff Representative	Lance Haliday	
Principal/Director	Louise Miller	
Director	Yvette Rodriquez	
Director	Diahna De La Vara	
Director	Shelley Lehman	

Guests:

C. Adopt Minutes from Previous Meeting May 28, 2026: For Action

D. Reading of Vision/Mission/Core Values:

Mission: YES CHARTER ACADEMY educates K-10 students in a school culture that values the scientific method and a curricular focus on environmental studies. The highest Common Core State Standards, as well as high standards of moral conduct, are emphasized.

The vision of the YES CHARTER ACADEMY is to educate K–10students of the Sierra Foothills through a self-motivating, individualized, and comprehensive curriculum that connects learners with learning via a program, teaching staff, and school culture that value scientific methods of inquiry.

YES Charter Academy Students Are: Environmental Stewards, Positive Team Members, Academic Masters, Resourceful, Responsible Citizens, Kind!

II. PUBLIC SESSION

A. Superintendent Report: For Information

B. Public Comment: For Information

C. Staff Representative Report: For Information

D. Fox Den Update: For Information

E. The COD will consider for approval Prop 28 Plan Arts and Music in Schools Funding annual report for FY 2025-2026: For Action

- F. The COD will consider approval the the ConApp Title Funding for the FY 2026-27: **For Action**
- G. The COD will review and consider for approval the 2026-27 LCAP Local Indicator Self-Reflection: **For Action**
- H. The COD will consider for approval Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) 2026-27 : **For Action**
- I. The COD will review and consider for approval the 2025 LCFF Budget Overview for Parents: **For Action**
- J. The COD will review and consider for approval the MYP Budget Alternate Form FY 2026-27: **For Action**

III. REGULAR REVIEW OF COMMITTEES

- **Facilities/Safety/Transportation:** Wendy Underhill/Pam Cook/Deb Campbell/Dawn Kaundart/Sara Hedrick/Lance Haliday/ James Brandt
- **Academic:** Louise Miller/Paul McGovern
- **Finance:** Paul McGovern/Pam Cook

IV. ADJOURNMENT



Monitoring Goals, Actions, and Resources for the 2025-26 Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP)

This template is intended for internal monitoring purposes only. The 2025-26 LCAP template and instructions should be consulted when completing required documents.

(6) (A) The superintendent of the school district shall present a report on the annual update to the local control and accountability plan and the local control funding formula budget overview for parents on or before February 28 of each year at a regularly scheduled meeting of the governing board of the school district. (B) The report shall include both of the following: (i) All available midyear outcome data related to metrics identified in the current year's local control and accountability plan. (ii) All available midyear expenditure and implementation data on all actions identified in the current year's local control and accountability plan.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy	Louise Miller Principal/Superintendent	lmiller@yescharteracademy.org (530) 692-2210

Goal 1

Goal Description

Basics & Conditions of Learning: Ensure the campus facilities are conducive to classroom and outdoor learning; the necessary materials are provided for project-based learning; and impactful standards-based instruction is regularly delivered by highly effective teachers and supported by administrative staff.

Expected Annual Measurable Objectives

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Mid-Year Outcome Data	Desired Outcome for 2026-2027
1.1	Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT) Report Score of "Good" LCFF Priority 1 Basics	Outdoor learning and project based learning facilities are in the development stage. Some electronic devices are aging.	We have continued to develop outdoor spaces. We have updated aging electronic devices by purchasing 100+ Chromebooks.		A new walk in freezer has been installed. Three electric vehicle charging stations are in the process of being installed. 2/24	Outdoor learning and project based learning facilities are fully developed. Electronic devices are new or near new.
1.2	Percentage of teachers that will be appropriately credentialed & assigned LCFF Priority 1 Basics	25% have interim credential. 30% have PIP credential. 45% have full credential.	21% have STSP credentials. 7% have PIP credentials. 71% have full credentials.		YES has one teacher with a PIP credential and three with intern credentials. The balance are fully credentialed.	100% of teachers have full credentialed.
1.3	Percentage of students who have access to standards-aligned instructional materials LCFF Priority 2. State Standards	100% of students have standards aligned ELA and mathematics materials. 100% have NGSS aligned science materials.	100% of students have standards aligned ELA and mathematics materials. 100% have NGSS aligned science materials.		A new math curriculum has been in use since the 22-23 school year. YES is in the process of identifying a new ELA curriculum to implement starting in the 24-25 school year.	100% of students have standards aligned ELA and mathematics materials. 100% have NGSS aligned science materials.
1.4	Percentage of students who have access to academic content standards LCFF Priority 2. State Standards	All students have access to academic content standards.	All students have access to academic content standards.		YES staff continues to focus on the implementation of state standards.	All students have access to academic content standards.
1.5	Number of teachers who have training in PLCs, ELA and math curriculum LCFF Priority 2. State Standards	Teachers have training in math curriculum. Teachers are scheduled to have training in newly adopted ELA curriculum and in the function of PLCs.	Teachers receive training in the math curriculum and the newly adopted ELA curriculum. All teachers participate in PLCs.		Two sessions of PLC lesson studies have been completed with substitute teachers hired to give YES teachers time to observe their PLC team. Paid PD time was allocated for PLCs to debrief.	Teachers will be trained in the math and ELA curricula. Teachers will be trained and work in PLCs a minimum of 2 times per month, divided among math and ELA

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Mid-Year Outcome Data	Desired Outcome for 2026-2027
1.6	Percentage of students with access to a broad course of study LCFF Priority 7. Broad Course of Study	All students have a broad course of study.	All students have a broad course of study.		YES continues to offer a broad course of study.	All students have a broad course of study.
1.7	Percentage of students who have an individualized Learning Plan (ILP)	All students have an Individualized Learning Plan (ILP)	All students have an Individualized Learning Plan (ILP)		All teachers updated ILPs for students at the end of the 2nd trimester.	All students have an Individualized Learning Plan (ILP)
1.8	Technology: Instructional Technology	All teachers have adequate laptops in good working condition.	All teachers have adequate laptops in good working condition.			All teachers have new model laptops in excellent working condition.
1.9	Percentage of students who have one to one Technology: Student Devices	All students students have a grade-appropriate electronic device . Examples: Chromebooks (replacement rotation), Chargers. Not all students have headphones.	All students students have a grade-appropriate electronic device . Examples: Chromebooks (replacement rotation), Chargers. Not all students have headphones			All students have a grade-appropriate electronic device . Examples: Chromebooks (replacement rotation), Chargers, headphones
1.10	Number of Professional Development opportunities provided for teachers.	All YES teachers are provided professional development in core math and ELA curriculum and SEL. No teachers have been provided PD in PLCs.	All YES teachers are provided professional development in core math and ELA curriculum and SEL. This is provided during PLC time weekly.			All YES teachers are provided professional development in core math and ELA curriculum , SEL, and PLCs.

Actions & Measuring and Reporting Results

Goal # Action #	Action Title and Description	Contributing	Implementation Level	Mid-Year Outcome Data	Other Data/Evidence (qualitative, quantitative, artifacts)	Total Funds Budgeted	Mid-Year Expenditures
1.1	Facilities, Safe and positive learning environment YES Charter Academy will provide a safe and positive learning environment with appropriately maintained facilities, including outdoor learning spaces for general use and	Yes	Partially Implemented	All students have a one to one electronic devices. The facilities are in good condition	In Process. All students have the exclusive use of a chromebook. We are adding solar electric and have other	\$259,688.00	\$156,391

Goal # Action #	Action Title and Description	Contributing	Implementation Level	Mid-Year Outcome Data	Other Data/Evidence (qualitative, quantitative, artifacts)	Total Funds Budgeted	Mid-Year Expenditures
	for CTE classes. Students will have one to one electronic devices.			as per the Williams Visit.	improvements in process.		
1.2	Staffing: Certificated Teachers including CTE and Administrators Ensure highly-qualified teachers and administrators are available to all students. Staffing costs including salaries and benefits for the following employees: Classroom Teachers, CTE, Administrators and Special Education Teachers	Yes	Partially Implemented	All three CTE teachers have at minimum a preliminary CTE credential.	We have identified one CTE teacher from our current staff and are onboarding another CTE teacher.	\$1,499,724.00	\$833,306
1.3	Standards aligned instructional materials Staffing costs including salaries and benefits for the following classified support staff: 1 Business Manager, 1 Attendance Clerk, 1 Administrative Assistant, 1 Family Liaison, 1 Custodian, 1 Maintenance 3 Full-time Aides	Yes	Partially Implemented	All of the listed staffing is filled with the exception of 1 custodian. YES currently contracts with a cleaning service.	Staffing remains on track.	\$1,080,114.00	\$483,879
1.4	Implementation of academic content standards Contract with Lighthouse to provide in-house Special Education Services: Speech/Language, School Psychologist, Occupational Therapy	Yes	Fully Implemented	YES continues to contract with Lighthouse to provide in-house Special Education services.	YES provides in-house special education with one full time Special Ed Director, one part time Special Ed teacher, and one aide.	\$125,000.00	\$125,000
1.5	System of Assessments - Formative & Summative Multiple types of formative and summative assessments to monitor students' academic progress and identify strengths and needs in order to modify instruction and identify	No	Fully Implemented	YES changed from iReady to IXL assessments. We continue to administer	YES uses iReady assessments, reading fluency assessments, and assessments embedded in the curriculum.	\$25,000.00	\$14,112

Goal # Action #	Action Title and Description	Contributing	Implementation Level	Mid-Year Outcome Data	Other Data/Evidence (qualitative, quantitative, artifacts)	Total Funds Budgeted	Mid-Year Expenditures
	necessary interventions. Associated costs are as follows: iReady Assessments or other, Interim Comprehensive Assessments (ICA); Interim Assessment Blocks (IAB), curriculum embedded assessments.			CAASPP (ICA); Interim Assessment Blocks and (IAB), curriculum embedded assessments.			
1.6	Core Curriculum: Annual Replenishment of Consumables for ELA, Mathematics, Science, & History Purchase of standards-aligned consumables for mathematics, science and English/language arts and history.	No	Partially Implemented	YES purchases standards-aligned consumables for mathematics, science and English/language arts and history.	Needed materials purchased	\$10,000.00	\$10,000
1.7	Software Licenses- Admin/Operations Subscriptions for the following online programs and applications: PowerSchool, DocTracking DTS, Parent Square, Respond Edu, Read Naturally and others as needed.	No	Fully Implemented	YES purchases subscriptions for the following online programs and applications: PowerSchool, DocTracking DTS, Parent Square, Read Naturally and others as needed.	On track		
1.8	Technology: Instructional Technology Costs associated with upgrading of technology to ensure classroom instructional technology is aligned to 21st century teaching and learning. Teacher laptops	No	Fully Implemented	YES upgrades technology to ensure classroom instructional technology is aligned to 21st century teaching and learning. This	On track	\$20,000.00	\$20,000

Goal # Action #	Action Title and Description	Contributing	Implementation Level	Mid-Year Outcome Data	Other Data/Evidence (qualitative, quantitative, artifacts)	Total Funds Budgeted	Mid-Year Expenditures
1.9	Technology: Student Devices Students will be provided with a grade-appropriate electronic device to enhance learning in the classroom. Examples: Chromebooks (replacement rotation), Chargers, Headphones,	Yes	Partially Implemented	includes a laptop for each teacher. YES students are provided with a grade-appropriate electronic devices to enhance learning in the classroom. We upgrade and replace Chromebooks, chargers, and headphones as needed.	On track	\$15,526.00	\$15,526
1.10	Professional Development YES will provide professional development in the following programs: * Illustrative Math (by Instruction Partners) * Savvas ELA * Green Ninja Science * Social Emotional Learning * PLCs for math and ELA	Yes	Partially Implemented	YES has provided professional development on ELA and Math through Instruction Partners. Professional Development on Restorative Practices and Conscious Discipline.	In process. Trainings in PBIS, Illustrative Math, E-Portfolio, NHA, Restorative Justice completed	\$41,151.00	\$41,151

Goal 2

Goal Description

English/Language Arts: Ensure students are demonstrating annual growth and progress in the mastery of English Language Arts standards as demonstrated by an improvement in grades, local formative assessments, and state-mandated assessments.

Expected Annual Measurable Objectives

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Mid-Year Outcome Data	Desired Outcome for 2026-2027
2.1	Students' growth on local iReady ELA and fluency assessments LCFF Priority 8 Pupil Outcomes	iReady ELA assessments and fluency assessments show opportunity for growth.	iReady ELA scores showed growth in all grade levels in ELA. This growth was reported to the YES COD monthly on the Academic Dashboard.		YES has changed from STAR assessments to iReady assessments for monitoring academic achievement in ELA and math. We have disaggregated the data from the baseline assessment, 1st trimester and 2nd trimester assessments to drive instructional decisions. We have allocated 25% of a teaching position to providing instructional support using iReady data.	All grade level students will show one year of growth on iReady ELA assessments. All below grade level students will reach grade level or show 2 years of growth.
2.2	Annual Growth on CAASPP ELA LCFF Priority 4 Pupil Achievement	2024 CAASPP assessments show opportunity for growth.	We just completed the 2025 CAASPP assessments and are waiting for the results.		CAASPP results showed significant growth with the CA dashboard showing ELA moving from Red to Yellow. ELA Distance From Standard Change From Previous Year Grouping 66.7 points below standard Increased 31.1 Points Hispanic 59.3 points below standard Increased	All students will meet the grade level standard, or increase one level from the previous year on the CAASPP ELA assessment.

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Mid-Year Outcome Data	Desired Outcome for 2026-2027
2.3					16.4 Points Socioeconomically Disadvantaged 59.6 points below standard Increased 15 Points All Students	
2.4					N/A Note: Data suppressed to protect student privacy when fewer than 11 students	
2.5					N/A Note: Data suppressed to protect student privacy when fewer than 11 students "No performance level" Less than 11 students – data suppressed (Source: CA Dashboard 2022 English Learner Progress Indicator)	

Actions & Measuring and Reporting Results

Goal # Action #	Action Title and Description	Contributing	Implementation Level	Mid-Year Outcome Data	Other Data/Evidence (qualitative, quantitative, artifacts)	Total Funds Budgeted	Mid-Year Expenditures
2.1	Data informed ELA ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created and Monitored by ELA PLCs Administer iReady and fluency ELA diagnostic assessments at the beginning of the year to all students to create individualized learning plans	Yes	Partially Implemented	YES changed to the IXL platform for assessments and data analysis. We continue to	iReady assessment data used to inform instructional decisions for classes, small groups, and individual students		

Goal # Action #	Action Title and Description	Contributing	Implementation Level	Mid-Year Outcome Data	Other Data/Evidence (qualitative, quantitative, artifacts)	Total Funds Budgeted	Mid-Year Expenditures
	(ILPs). Based on the data, employ Rtl to form targeted intervention groups or individual instruction. Meet in ELA PLCs to set ILP goals, monitor progress and modify instruction throughout the year. Disaggregate data by subgroups. **Costs for teachers and materials captured in Goal 1**			administer fluency assessments and to use data to monitor progress and modify instruction as well as to set ILP goals and employ Rtl.			
2.2	Writing Initiative ELA PLCs will use standards based writing rubrics to assess all students' writing 3 times per year and give feedback to students. Teachers will administer CAASPP interim writing assessments, grade and give feedback to students. ELA PLCs will use data from writing assessments and CAASPP interim assessments to monitor progress and modify writing instruction throughout the year.	No	Partially Implemented	Individual ELA teachers continue to engage in high quality writing instruction. Coordination of writing instruction and assessment at a school wide level is a next step.	Time in aftercare is set aside for homework help. We have one tutor employed		

Goal 3

Goal Description	
Mathematics & Science: Ensure students are demonstrating annual growth and progress in the mastery of state standards in mathematics and NGSS standards in science.	

Expected Annual Measurable Objectives

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Mid-Year Outcome Data	Desired Outcome for 2026-2027
3.1	Annual Growth on local iReady math assessments LCFF Priority 8 Pupil Outcomes	Current iReady math assessments show opportunity for continued growth.	The Academic Dashboard with data from iReady assessments shows significant growth in math achievement.		% students with improved placement in iReady math at end of 2nd trimester. Grade 1 44% Grade 2 60% Grade 3 85% Grade 4 37% Grade 5 32% Grade 6 53% Grade 7 31% Grade 8 43%	All students will score at grade level (green) or above, or show growth of two grade levels.
3.2	Annual Growth on CAASPP math LCFF Priority 4 Pupil Achievement	2024 CAASPP math assessments show opportunity for continued growth.	We just completed the 2025 CAASPP assessments and are waiting for the results.		2023 CAASPP Math Hispanic 112.1 points below standard Increased 43.9 Points Socioeconomically Disadvantaged 87.7 points below standard Increased 42.3 Points All Students 87.8 points below standard Increased 35.5 Points	All students will score at meets standard level (green) or above, or show significant growth from the previous year's CAASPP math assessment.
3.3	Annual Growth on CAST science achievement LCFF Priority 4 Pupil Achievement	2024 CAST assessment shows room for continued growth.	We just completed the 2025 CAST assessments and are waiting for the results.			5th and 8th grade students will show growth over the previous year's CAST scores.

Actions & Measuring and Reporting Results

Goal # Action #	Action Title and Description	Contributing	Implementation Level	Mid-Year Outcome Data	Other Data/Evidence (qualitative, quantitative, artifacts)	Total Funds Budgeted	Mid-Year Expenditures
3.1	<p>Data informed Math ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created, Monitored and Modified by Math PLCs</p> <p>Administer iReady math diagnostic assessments at the beginning of the year to all students to create individualized learning plans (ILPs). Based on the data employ RtI to form targeted intervention groups or individual instruction. Meet in math PLCs to set ILP goals, monitor progress by administering iReady 2 more times, and modify intervention groups and instruction based on data throughout the year. Disaggregate data by sub groups.</p> <p>**Costs for teachers and materials captured in Goal 1**</p>	Yes	Partially Implemented	YES changed to the IXL platform for math diagnostic assessments and continues to use data to drive instruction.	Data used to create small group and individual instruction supported by 25% position.		
3.2	<p>CAASPP Interim Assessment Practice Supported by Math PLCs</p> <p>Math PCLs and CAASPP Coordinator will meet to create pacing guides to administer math CAASPP Interim assessments strategically throughout the year based on standards taught. Math PLC team members will use the data to modify math instruction. Disaggregate data by sub groups.</p>	Yes	Planned	The math PLCs met to create pacing guides to administer CAASPP interim assessments.	.	\$0	
3.3	<p>PBL Environmental Science Project</p> <p>YES students will participate in their class PBL environmental science project preparation and presentation in a way that addresses authentic environmental issues, incorporates the scientific method of inquiry, and uses of mathematical reasoning.</p>	No	Partially Implemented	All homeroom classes have a PBL project in process for a presentation on Earth Day, scheduled for April 25, 2026	Earth Day scheduled for April 20, 2024 All classes scheduled to participate	\$1,000.00	

Goal # Action #	Action Title and Description	Contributing	Implementation Level	Mid-Year Outcome Data	Other Data/Evidence (qualitative, quantitative, artifacts)	Total Funds Budgeted	Mid-Year Expenditures

Goal 4

Goal Description	
Positive School Climate & Culture: Students increase engagement by partnering with local agencies, organizations, and businesses for project-based learning, service learning, and career technical education (CTE) that addresses authentic, environmental issues and incorporates the scientific method of inquiry.	

Expected Annual Measurable Objectives

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Mid-Year Outcome Data	Desired Outcome for 2026-2027
4.1	Suspension Rate LCFF Priority 6 School Climate	Suspension Rate is 4%	Suspension Rate is 4%		Suspension rate TBD (lower than last year)	Suspension Rate of 0%
4.2	Expulsion Rate LCFF Priority 6 School Climate	Expulsion Rate is 0%	Expulsion Rate is 0%		0% Expulsion rate to date 2/24	Expulsion Rate of 0%
4.3	Increase attendance rates LCFF Priority 5 Pupil Engagement	Attendance Rate is 96%	Attendance Rate is 95%		Survey not administered yet.	Attendance Rate of 97%
4.4	Chronic Absenteeism rates LCFF Priority 5 Pupil Engagement	Chronic Absenteeism Rate is 5%	Chronic Absenteeism Rate is 6%		Survey not administered yet.	Chronic Absenteeism Rate of 0%
4.5	Middle School Dropout Rates LCFF Priority 5 Pupil Engagement	Middle School Dropout Rate is 0%	Middle School Dropout Rate is 0%		93%	Middle School dropout Rate of 0%
4.6	CTE Program Implementation LCFF Priorities 5 Pupil Engagement & 8 Other Pupil Outcomes	YES to open CTE programs in the 2024-2025 school year.	YES opened CTE programs in the 2024-2025 school year.		0.5%	High School Dropout Rate of 0% CTE fully implemented
4.7					0%	
4.8					Changed goal from Environmental Science Fair Participation to Environmental PBL participation. All students participating in PBL and preparing for a presentation at Earth Day community festival.	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Mid-Year Outcome Data	Desired Outcome for 2026-2027
4.9					CTE teachers in Forestry & Natural Resources and Entrepreneurship have been identified and slated to teach these courses starting in the 2024-25 school year.	

Actions & Measuring and Reporting Results

Goal # Action #	Action Title and Description	Contributing	Implementation Level	Mid-Year Outcome Data	Other Data/Evidence (qualitative, quantitative, artifacts)	Total Funds Budgeted	Mid-Year Expenditures
4.1	<p>Strategies, Programs, & Activities to Promote Student Engagement</p> <p>The school will schedule monthly school wide activities planned in partnership with the YES Student Council. Student Council members are elected by their peers and include a Spirit Coordinator and representative from each class. Additionally, YES will host student recognition assemblies at the end of each grading period/testing cycle for academic growth, PBIS (SPARRK) behavior, and attendance.</p>	No	Partially Implemented	<p>YES Student Council has been elected. The school hosts weekly pep assemblies on Friday mornings to recognize student achievement. YES hosted a student recognition assembly at the end of the first grading period to award academic growth, PBIS (SPARRK) behavior, and attendance.</p>	<p>Students Council has planned and carried out Great Kindness Challenge and a school dance. They are planning a week of festivities during Read Across America week in March, 2024</p>	\$8,000.00	\$6,225
4.2	<p>College and Career Explorations and Curriculum</p> <p>Schedule field trips, assemblies, and guest speakers around college and career explorations. Purchase curriculum that samples varied careers from Career Technical Education (CTE), including, but not limited to</p>	Yes	Partially Implemented	<p>The high school counselor and teachers have led numerous field trips and invited guest speakers</p>	<p>To date there have been 5 science field trips and numerous science guest speakers. 2/24</p>	\$8,000.00	\$6,225

Goal # Action #	Action Title and Description	Contributing	Implementation Level	Mid-Year Outcome Data	Other Data/Evidence (qualitative, quantitative, artifacts)	Total Funds Budgeted	Mid-Year Expenditures
	Forestry and Natural Resources, Entrepreneurship, and Design, Visual and Media Arts.			around college and career explorations.			

Goal 5

Goal Description

Family Involvement & Engagement: Increase family involvement through school events focused on supporting their child(s) academic and personal success, as well as preparation for college and career.

Expected Annual Measurable Objectives

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Mid-Year Outcome Data	Desired Outcome for 2026-2027
5.1	Number of family members involved through input in decision-making via Site SSC and COD Committees LCFF Priority 3 Parent Involvement	2 to 3 parents participate in decision making meetings.	2 to 3 parents participate in decision making meetings.		In process	All parents will have access to decision making SSC and COD committees. 5 to 7 family members will regularly attend YES COD committee meetings and/or COD meetings and be involved in the decision making process.
5.2	Number of family members who attend opportunities for input in decision-making as well as participation in programs/workshops for families of unduplicated students and Students with Disabilities LCFF Priority 3 Parent Involvement	Five annual workshops with an average of 5 participants each.	Three annual workshops with an average of 7 participants each.		8 COD meetings to date 3 Coffee with the principal and family liaison meetings to date Back to school Night	Nine annual programs/workshops with an average of 15 participants each.
5.3					Survey not administered yet.	

Actions & Measuring and Reporting Results

Goal # Action #	Action Title and Description	Contributing	Implementation Level	Mid-Year Outcome Data	Other Data/Evidence (qualitative, quantitative, artifacts)	Total Funds Budgeted	Mid-Year Expenditures
5.1	Strategies, Programs, & Activities to Promote Parent/Guardian Engagement The Family Liaison will hold daytime Coffee with the Principal, and evening social game & movie nights.	No	Partially Implemented	YES regularly uses Parent Square and the News and Information tab of the school	Monthly Newsletter has been replaced with News and Events tab on website. School events are published regularly on	\$500.00	\$0

Goal # Action #	Action Title and Description	Contributing	Implementation Level	Mid-Year Outcome Data	Other Data/Evidence (qualitative, quantitative, artifacts)	Total Funds Budgeted	Mid-Year Expenditures
	<p>Meetings of the SSC will be published at the start of the year, and regularly advertised through our newsletter to increase the number of parents who engage in the decision-making bodies of the school. We also encourage parents to attend the monthly meetings of the Council of Directors.</p> <p>Parent Square and the online News and Information tab on the school website will be the primary vehicles to ensure all families and staff are aware of these events.</p> <p>** Costs for this action include hospitality and materials. Parent Liaison salary captured in Goal 1.**</p>			<p>website to communicate with parents about programs and activities to promote parent engagement. In addition, news is shared monthly through an electronic newsletter. YES hosted Back to School Night on August 7, 2025, Harvest Festival on November 7, 2025, Winter Program on December 17, 2025. Earth Day is planned for April 25, 2026. The Family Liaison hosts parents for tea and coffee before and after each awards assembly at the end of grading periods.</p>	<p>website and Parent Square. The recent art show at Yuba Sutter Arts & Culture had 4 times the parent attendance from the art show last year.</p>		

Impact to the Budget Overview for Parents

Item	As adopted in Budget Overview for Parents	Mid-Year Update
Total LCFF Funds	\$2,682,753	\$2,726,943
LCFF Supplemental/Concentration Grants	\$563,192	\$563,550

Proposition 28: Arts and Music in Schools Funding

Annual Report

Fiscal Year 2025-26

Name: Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy

CDS Code: 5810587-0117242

Charter School Number: 990

Allocation Year: 2025-26, 2024-25, 2023-24

1. Narrative description of the Prop 28 arts education program(s) funded. (2500 character limit).

YES charter used Prop 28 funds to pay credentialed teachers to teach our students in Art and Music. They followed state standards for the subjects taught.

2. Number of full-time equivalent teachers (certificated). 2.0

3. Number of full-time equivalent personnel (classified). 0.0

4. Number of full-time equivalent teaching aides. 0.0

5. Number of students served. 150

6. Number of school sites providing arts education. 1

Date of Approval by Governing Board/Body 6/25/2026 3:49:31 PM

Annual Report Data URL

<https://yescharteracademy.org/documents/>



LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name: Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy

CDS Code: 58105870117242

School Year: 2026-27

LEA contact information:

Louise Miller

Principal/Superintendent

lmiller@yescharteracademy.org

(530) 692-2210

School districts receive funding from different sources: state funds under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), other state funds, local funds, and federal funds. LCFF funds include a base level of funding for all LEAs and extra funding - called "supplemental and concentration" grants - to LEAs based on the enrollment of high needs students (Foster Youth, English learners, and low-income students).

Budget Overview for the 2026-27 School Year

Projected Revenue by Fund Source


Total LCFF funds
\$0
0 %

This chart shows the total general purpose revenue Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy expects to receive in the coming year from all sources.

The text description for the above chart is as follows: The total revenue projected for Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy is \$0, of which \$ is Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), \$ is other state funds, \$ is local funds, and \$ is federal funds. Of the \$ in LCFF Funds, \$ is generated based on the enrollment of high needs students (Foster Youth, English learner, and low-income students).

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

The LCFF gives school districts more flexibility in deciding how to use state funds. In exchange, school districts must work with parents, educators, students, and the community to develop a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) that shows how they will use these funds to serve students.



This chart provides a quick summary of how much Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy plans to spend for 2026-27. It shows how much of the total is tied to planned actions and services in the LCAP.


The text description of the above chart is as follows: Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy plans to spend \$ for the 2026-27 school year. Of that amount, \$ is tied to actions/services in the LCAP and \$0 is not included in the LCAP. The budgeted expenditures that are not included in the LCAP will be used for the following:

Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in the LCAP for the 2026-27 School Year

In 2026-27, Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy is projecting it will receive \$ based on the enrollment of Foster Youth, English learner, and low-income students. Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy must describe how it intends to increase or improve services for high needs students in the LCAP. Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy plans to spend \$ towards meeting this requirement, as described in the LCAP.

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Update on Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in 2025-26



This chart compares what Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy budgeted last year in the LCAP for actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students with what Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy estimates it has spent on actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students in the current year.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: In 2025-26, Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy's LCAP budgeted \$ for planned actions to increase or improve services for high needs students. Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy actually spent \$ for actions to increase or improve services for high needs students in 2025-26.



Local Control and Accountability Plan

The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy	Louise Miller Principal/Superintendent	lmiller@yescharteracademy.org (530) 692-2210

Plan Summary [2026-27]

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA. LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.

YES Charter Academy is a free public charter school for TKK through eleventh grade focusing on the application of the scientific method through hands-on learning. Since our opening in 2008, we have grown to serve students from the various communities in Yuba County. Our mission is to cultivate a nurturing educational environment that pairs rigorous scientific inquiry with the highest standards of both academic and moral conduct. We use School Wide PBIS to “SPARRK” students to be: Stewards of the environment, Positive team players, Academic masters, Responsible citizens, Resourceful, and Kind.

In the process of developing the 2026-27 LCAP the team analyzed data from the 2024 and 2025 CAASPP and CAST assessments. We experienced significant growth in Math in 2024 but did not show growth in reading. We made growth on the CAST in 2024. In 2025 we made growth in both math and reading. Our goals this year reflect our commitment to continue steady and sustainable growth in math, reading, and science.

YES's significant sub groups are Hispanic, White, and Low SES. We continue to closely monitor the academic achievement of the school's subgroups.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Over the past three years, YES students have shown growth on CAASPP assessment in both reading and math and on the CAST in science. YES was designated as middle performing based upon 2025 CAASPP achievement and was rechartered for five years. In local data, namely IXL diagnostic assessments in reading and math, students showed growth from the beginning to the end of the 2025-26 academic year.

Areas of Strength:

CAASPP

ELA: YES percentage of students who meet or exceeded state standards: 33%, which is higher than our previous year by 10% and higher than our local district by 10 percent.

Math: YES percentage of students who meet or exceeded state standards: 27% which is higher than our previous year of 23% and higher than our local district by more than double.

Areas of Need:

CAASPP

ELA: Percentage of those not tested:

Males 4.23%

White: 4.55%

Hispanic or Latino: 2.27%

SED: 4.17%

SWD: 3.70%

Those sub-groups who had the lowest percentage of who met or exceeded standards:

Males: 30.88% performing lower than females

Hispanic or Latino: 25.58% performing lower than whites

SED 34.78% performing higher in ELA than Math

Those sub-groups who had the lowest percentage of who met or exceeded standards:

SWD: 26.92% performing higher in ELA than math

Females: 25% performing lower than males in math

Hispanic or Latino: 23.81% lower than all subgroups except two or more races

Science: About the same from 2023-24 to 2024-25 26.83% to slight decrease 26.67%. Much better than district but lower than State average.

Dashboard indicators: YES students since 2023 have continued growth in both ELA and Math distance from standard scores coming closer to level 3 to meet standards.

YES student 2025 scores for ELA DFS: 43.6 points with 64.6% of student lowering their DFS and improving 18.4 points. Hispanic group is the farthest from standard at 52.2% with SED showing the most improvement of 22.6 points.

YES student 2025 scores for Math DFS: 67.2 points with 62.5% lowering their DFS and improving by 12.2 points. Hispanic group is the furthest away from standard at 77.4 points with SED showing the most improvement of 23.4 points.

From the above data we continue to show improvement by focusing on ILP's for Math and frequently monitoring the growth of sub-groups who have the highest DFS scores throughout the year

Continue to focus on equity and provide SEL and safety, improve attendance and reduce suspensions
SWD need ELA interventions
Males need ELA interventions
Females need math interventions
Hispanics need ELA interventions

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

n/a

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy was identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement.

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

YES is a single school district. We will use data from the California Dashboard and additional data from our local assessments, IXL diagnostics, to develop a comprehensive support plan. We carry out continuous cycles of improvement in professional learning communities (PLCs). PLCs in TK through 2nd, 3rd through 6th, and 7th through 11th, will focus on math and reading data to make data driven instructional decisions. PLCs, with the support of school administration, will use data to determine which students are in need of interventions, what interventions are needed, and collaborate to ensure timely and targeted interventions are provided. In addition, administration will support YES teachers in planning and delivering excellent first time instruction. YES will regularly engage its parent committees and Council of Directors to raise the awareness and detail the school's response to data throughout the year.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

YES will monitor IXL assessment data and data from the LCAP to monitor progress and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement. Teachers will meet in PLCs to collaboratively monitor and evaluate student improvement. YES administration will observe and receive reports from the PLCs. YES administration will present an academic dashboard with IXL assessment data at the monthly YES Council of Directors meetings to enable YES COD members to monitor and support school improvement.

Engaging Educational Partners

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
<p>Educational Partner(s) Yes Charter Academy engaged with educational partners that include teachers, administrators, other school personnel, parents and students YES used the consultants at Collaborative Ed Advisors to develop high school classes and to train high school teachers on teaching classes the adopted classes. Silicon Schools provided a team of YES teachers training on using AI in educational settings.</p>	<p>Process for Engagement YES Charter Academy is committed to purposeful engagement of all educational partners to develop and modify goals. We do this through varied means:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Holding stakeholder engagement meetings aka roadmap meetings annually • Hosting triannual family liaison community engagement for parents • Holding monthly parent advisory club meetings • Surveying student, parent and all staff need assessment and climate surveys annually • Holding monthly credentialed and non-credentialed staff meetings. <p>Although YES does not have significant English Learner, homeless or foster student groups, we do seek feedback from those partners who have significant input from all group demographics to identify program needs and areas for improvement.</p>

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

YES surveyed parents, students and staff members. The adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback in several ways:

Education Partner Engagement – Staff Members

While 75% of YES educators reported that they did receive enough professional development to support the diverse needs of students, only 30% reported that they feel well prepared to deliver this support. One respondent remarked that, “Roleplay and re-enactment with difficult scenarios” would be helpful.

This finding shows a need to regularly review the concepts and practices taught in professional development including roleplay of difficult scenarios, and to frequently survey and respond to educators' needs. The LCAP reflects our efforts in offering multiple trainings such as Conscious Discipline and restorative practices training that teach our staff how to respond to diverse student needs.

While 75% YES educators reported that they have access to the instructional materials and technology they need to teach students effectively, 25% report that they do not.

This finding shows a need to regularly survey educators about their specific needs for instructional materials and technology, and to provide the necessary training on the technology to teach their students effectively. This is reflected in the LCAP to provide adequate funding to provide instructional materials, technology and adequate training.

Parent Survey

Significant findings from the Parent Survey are as follows:

The reasons that parents choose to send their children to YES are positive. The highest percentage, 45%, reported that they were attracted to the school's environmental and project based curriculum. While 10% reported leaving other schools because of bullying, the balance reported positive pulls to the school: moving to the area (10%), students wanted to attend (10%), and the balance, other reasons such as amazing food, school garden, and living nearby.

This finding shows that YES has been successful with their educational model of environmental science and project based curriculum, but needs to continue to implement equitable practices and provide restorative practices to enhance the sense of safety and belonging for all its students.

When asked about problems at the school, two areas of concern stood out, rated by over 20% of parents as a large problem. These were “student vaping or e-cigarette use” and “provide safer environment”

The findings indicate a need for (1) increased use of vaping educational programming, where students are given vaping prevention education after being found with a vape on campus in lieu of suspension or punishment. (2) The need for all students to feel safe is rooted in building a relationship driven culture where all students feel that they have a trusted adult on campus. This is reflected in the LCAP to elevate student voices, including them on decision making, developing our restorative practices and other social emotional programming via our Family Liaison, High School Counselor and Social worker.

Two areas of concern stood out, rated by 15 to 20% of parents as a large problem. These were “Physical fighting between students” and “Provide extracurricular activities.”

These findings indicate a need for increased use of initiatives such as restorative practices. This is reflected in the LCAP with the addition of a social worker and training in restorative circles. The student surveys show a need for an increase in extra-curricular activities such as sports and clubs. This is reflected in the LCAP with the addition of the ACES after school grant and the lengthening of lunch recess. The school plans to use these two times to introduce extra-curricular activities and sports. The position of ACES grant funded after care provider will be tasked with developing extra-curricular activities and sports in collaboration with teachers and support staff.

Student Survey

Significant findings in the student survey are about student wellbeing and student wants. Student wellbeing:

68% of students reported having a trusted adult on campus. Asked if they felt like they belong, are respected, and accepted, on a scale of 1 through 5 with one being no and 5 being yes, the average of the scores was 3.5. These responses can be characterized as hopeful but tepid. This is reflected in the LCAP with plans to:

- Continue the positions of Family Liaison and High School counselor
- Add the position of social worker to our staff.
- Increase training for staff in restorative practices and circles.
- Implement restorative circles into the daily routines of classrooms.
- Research and begin implementation of peer lead problem solving teams.

Student wants:

Students reported wanting the following at school:

- Drama/Acting/Theater
- Debate/Speech
- Photography
- Robotics
- Sports

The school is responding to this by planning to offer these as part of the after school program. For students that are not in the after school program, the school has lengthened the lunch recess for middle and high school students in order for students to meet in themed clubs and sports during lunch recess. The school will further survey students to find out which sports students prefer.

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
1	Basics & Conditions of Learning: Ensure the campus facilities are conducive to classroom and outdoor learning; the necessary materials are provided for project-based learning; and impactful standards-based instruction is regularly delivered by highly effective teachers and supported by administrative staff.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

- Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)
- Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)
- Priority 7: Course Access (Conditions of Learning)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

In order for students to achieve, they need a safe and positive learning environment, highly qualified teachers and administrative staff, and curriculum aligned to Common Core State Standards. Educational partner input identified a desire for continued indoor and outdoor learning, continued project-based learning, and differentiation for skills not yet mastered. To further standards based learning and effective differentiated learning, each student must have the age-appropriate learning device (e.g. iPad or Chromebook) to receive differentiated curriculum.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1.1	Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT) Report Score of "Good" LCFF Priority 1 Basics	Outdoor learning and project based learning facilities are in the development stage. Some electronic devices are aging.	We have continued to develop outdoor spaces. We have updated aging electronic devices by purchasing 100+ Chromebooks.	Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT) score is "Good" YES updated elementary art and creative play area in elementary and middle and high school area. Electronics are	Outdoor learning and project based learning facilities are fully developed. Electronic devices are new or near new.	Outdoor and PBL facilities are 75% improved over baseline. 30% of electronics are new and 60% are one year old.

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1.2	Percentage of teachers that will be appropriately credentialed & assigned LCFF Priority 1 Basics	25% have interim credential. 30% have PIP credential. 45% have full credential.	21% have STSP credentials. 7% have PIP credentials. 71% have full credentials.	66% of teachers are appropriately credentialed and assigned.	100% of teachers have full credentialed.	The percentage of appropriately credentialed teachers has moved from 45% to 66%.
1.3	Percentage of students who have access to standards-aligned instructional materials LCFF Priority 2. State Standards	100% of students have standards aligned ELA and mathematics materials. 100% have NGSS aligned science materials.	100% of students have standards aligned ELA and mathematics materials. 100% have NGSS aligned science materials.	100% of students have access to standards aligned instructional materials as measured by the Williams Visit.	100% of students have standards aligned ELA and mathematics materials. 100% have NGSS aligned science materials.	There is no change from baseline.
1.4	Percentage of students who have access to academic content standards LCFF Priority 2. State Standards	All students have access to academic content standards.	All students have access to academic content standards.	All students have access to academic content standards.	All students have access to academic content standards.	There is no change from baseline.
1.5	Number of teachers who have training in PLCs, ELA and math curriculum LCFF Priority 2. State Standards	Teachers have training in math curriculum. Teachers are scheduled to have training in newly adopted ELA curriculum and in the function of PLCs.	Teachers receive training in the math curriculum and the newly adopted ELA curriculum. All teachers participate in PLCs.	All teachers received training in ELA and math curriculum as well as training in PLCs.	Teachers will be trained in the math and ELA curricula. Teachers will be trained and work in PLCs a minimum of 2 times per month, divided among math and ELA	Teachers have training in all three areas from baseline in which they had training in 1 out of 3.
1.6	Percentage of students with access to a broad course of study	All students have a broad course of study.	All students have a broad course of study.	All students have a broad course of study.	All students have a broad course of study.	No change from baseline.

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	LCFF Priority 7. Broad Course of Study					
1.7	Percentage of students who have an individualized Learning Plan (ILP)	All students have an Individualized Learning Plan (ILP)	All students have an Individualized Learning Plan (ILP)	All students have an ILP.	All students have an Individualized Learning Plan (ILP)	No change from baseline.
1.8	Technology: Instructional Technology	All teachers have adequate laptops in good working condition.	All teachers have adequate laptops in good working condition.	All teachers have adequate laptops in good working condition.	All teachers have new model laptops in excellent working condition.	No change from baseline.
1.9	Percentage of students who have one to one Technology: Student Devices	All students students have a grade-appropriate electronic device . Examples: Chromebooks (replacement rotation), Chargers. Not all students have headphones.	All students students have a grade-appropriate electronic device . Examples: Chromebooks (replacement rotation), Chargers. Not all students have headphones	All students have a grade appropriate electronic device.	All students have a grade-appropriate electronic device . Examples: Chromebooks (replacement rotation), Chargers, headphones	No change from baseline.
1.10	Number of Professional Development opportunities provided for teachers.	All YES teachers are provided professional development in core math and ELA curriculum and SEL. No teachers have been provided PD in PLCs.	All YES teachers are provided professional development in core math and ELA curriculum and SEL. This is provided during PLC time weekly.	All teachers are provided professional development in core curriculum, SEL and PLCs.	All YES teachers are provided professional development in core math and ELA curriculum , SEL, and PLCs.	Training in PLCs has been added.

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

We continued to provide teachers with dedicated PLC time. There were no substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of actions.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were no significant difference between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures. .

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

We were effective in setting aside PLC time for training and collaboration.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

We will continue to use dedicated PLC time. Teacher leaders are developing and are becoming adept at organizing facilitating PLCs.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.1	Facilities, Safe and positive learning environment	YES Charter Academy will provide a safe and positive learning environment with appropriately maintained facilities, including outdoor learning spaces for general use and for CTE classes. Students will have one to one electronic devices.	\$259,688.00	Yes
1.2	Staffing: Certified Teachers including CTE and Administrators	Ensure highly-qualified teachers and administrators are available to all students. Staffing costs including salaries and benefits for the following employees: Classroom Teachers, CTE, Administrators and Special Education Teachers	\$1,499,724.00	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.3	Standards aligned instructional materials	Staffing costs including salaries and benefits for the following classified support staff: 1 Business Manager, 1 Attendance Clerk, 1 Administrative Assistant, 1 Family Liaison, 1 Custodian, 1 Maintenance 3 Full-time Aides	\$1,080,114.00	Yes
1.4	Implementation of academic content standards	Contract with Lighthouse to provide in-house Special Education Services: Speech/Language, School Psychologist, Occupational Therapy	\$125,000.00	Yes
1.5	System of Assessments - Formative & Summative	Multiple types of formative and summative assessments to monitor students' academic progress and identify strengths and needs in order to modify instruction and identify necessary interventions. Associated costs are as follows: iReady Assessments or other, Interim Comprehensive Assessments (ICA); Interim Assessment Blocks (IAB), curriculum embedded assessments.	\$25,000.00	No
1.6	Core Curriculum: Annual Replenishment of Consumables for ELA, Mathematics, Science, & History	Purchase of standards-aligned consumables for mathematics, science and English/language arts and history.	\$10,000.00	No
1.7	Software Licenses- Admin/Operations	Subscriptions for the following online programs and applications: PowerSchool, DocTracking DTS, Parent Square, Respond Edu, Read Naturally and others as needed.		No
1.8	Technology: Instructional Technology	Costs associated with upgrading of technology to ensure classroom instructional technology is aligned to 21st century teaching and learning. Teacher laptops	\$20,000.00	No
1.9	Technology: Student Devices	Students will be provided with a grade-appropriate electronic device to enhance learning in the classroom. Examples: Chromebooks (replacement rotation), Chargers, Headphones,	\$15,526.00	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.10	Professional Development	<p>YES will provide professional development in the following programs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Illustrative Math (by Instruction Partners) * Savvas ELA * Green Ninja Science * Social Emotional Learning * PLCs for math and ELA 	\$41,151.00	Yes

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
2	English/Language Arts: Ensure students are demonstrating annual growth and progress in the mastery of English Language Arts standards as demonstrated by an improvement in grades, local formative assessments, and state-mandated assessments.	Focus Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)

Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

The 2024 CAASPP performance in English/Language Arts demonstrated there has been a stagnation after growth the previous year in students' ability to apply their standards-based instruction to web-based state assessment (SBAC).

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
2.1	Students' growth on local iReady ELA and fluency assessments LCFF Priority 8 Pupil Outcomes	iReady ELA assessments and fluency assessments show opportunity for growth.	iReady ELA scores showed growth in all grade levels in ELA. This growth was reported to the YES COD monthly on the Academic Dashboard.	The school changed to IXL assessments. These showed most students making one year of ELA growth, but not two years of growth. We set a more supportive and realistic goal for students reading below grade level.	All students will show one year of growth on IXL ELA assessments. Students who are below grade level will receive intervention to make 10% over one year of growth.	IXL and fluency data shows improvement, but not all below grade level students reach grade level or showed over one year of growth.
2.2	Annual Growth on CAASPP ELA	2024 CAASPP assessments show opportunity for growth.	We just completed the 2025 CAASPP assessments and	64.6% students improved their	All students will meet the grade level standard, or	The CAASPP Dashboard shows that 3 out of 4

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	LCFF Priority 4 Pupil Achievement		are waiting for the results.	score from the prior year	increase one level from the previous year on the CAASPP ELA assessment.	subgroups made moderate growth.

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

We continued the goal of having all 2nd graders moving to 3rd grade as fluent readers. About 80% reached the goal. The TK-2nd grade PLC continued their focus on teaching phonemic awareness and phonology with fidelity to the program. School wide we focused on reading fluency with all grade levels showing gains in reading fluency.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were no significant material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Outside coaches from Instruction Partners were effective in helping YES set and achieve goals in 2nd grade reading fluency. IXL data documents this growth.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

We will continue to use IXL to assess reading proficiency and to identify students for reading intervention. We changed goal 2.1 from below grade level students making 2 years of growth to making one year plus 10% growth with intervention.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
2.1	Data informed ELA ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created and Monitored by ELA PLCs	Administer iReady and fluency ELA diagnostic assessments at the beginning of the year to all students to create individualized learning plans (ILPs). Based on the data, employ RtI to form targeted intervention groups or individual instruction. Meet in ELA PLCs to set ILP goals, monitor progress and modify instruction throughout the year. Disaggregate data by subgroups. **Costs for teachers and materials captured in Goal 1**		Yes
2.2	Writing Initiative	ELA PLCs will use standards based writing rubrics to assess all students' writing 3 times per year and give feedback to students. Teachers will administer CAASPP interim writing assessments, grade and give feedback to students. ELA PLCs will use data from writing assessments and CAASPP interim assessments to monitor progress and modify writing instruction throughout the year.		No

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
3	Mathematics & Science: Ensure students are demonstrating annual growth and progress in the mastery of state standards in mathematics and NGSS standards in science.	Focus Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)

Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

This goal was developed to provide a focus on student growth in the areas of mathematics and science as aligned with our instructional theme. We strive to apply our environmental theme to the area of mathematics in order for students to apply their learning at higher levels.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.1	Annual Growth on local iReady math assessments LCFF Priority 8 Pupil Outcomes	Current iReady math assessments show opportunity for continued growth.	The Academic Dashboard with data from iReady assessments shows significant growth in math achievement.	IXL data show growth in a majority of students	All students will score at grade level (green) or above, or show growth of two grade levels.	Moderate growth this year on the dashboard as opposed to opportunity for growth.
3.2	Annual Growth on CAASPP math LCFF Priority 4 Pupil Achievement	2024 CAASPP math assessments show opportunity for continued growth.	We just completed the 2025 CAASPP assessments and are waiting for the results.	Students in all four subgroups showed moderate growth on the CAASPP dashboard.	All students will score at meets standard level (green) or above, or show significant growth from the previous year's CAASPP math assessment.	Dashboard shows that 62.5% students improved their score from the prior year

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.3	Annual Growth on CAST science achievement LCFE Priority 4 Pupil Achievement	2024 CAST assessment shows room for continued growth.	We just completed the 2025 CAST assessments and are waiting for the results.	47.3 science points	5th and 8th grade students will show growth over the previous year's CAST scores.	Decline of 3.1 points

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

The IP math support team guided math teachers ask more effective questions of math students in order to guide students to develop their mathematical reasoning. A teacher leader led the math PLCs utilizing coaching from IP coach. There were no substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of the actions.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were no significant differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

The use of PLC time to internalize and practice math instruction strategies including teachers modeling math lessons for each other has been effective in increasing teacher efficacy.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

We are pleased with the growth and will set new math achievement goals based upon student achievement in math.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
3.1	Data informed Math ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created, Monitored and Modified by Math PLCs	Administer iReady math diagnostic assessments at the beginning of the year to all students to create individualized learning plans (ILPs). Based on the data employ RtI to form targeted intervention groups or individual instruction. Meet in math PLCs to set ILP goals, monitor progress by administering iReady 2 more times, and modify intervention groups and instruction based on data throughout the year. Disaggregate data by sub groups. **Costs for teachers and materials captured in Goal 1**		Yes
3.2	CAASPP Interim Assessment Practice Supported by Math PLCs	Math PCLs and CAASPP Coordinator will meet to create pacing guides to administer math CAASPP Interim assessments strategically throughout the year based on standards taught. Math PLC team members will use the data to modify math instruction. Disaggregate data by sub groups.	\$1,000.00	Yes
3.3	PBL Environmental Science Project	YES students will participate in their class PBL environmental science project preparation and presentation in a way that addresses authentic environmental issues, incorporates the scientific method of inquiry, and uses of mathematical reasoning.		No

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
4	Positive School Climate & Culture: Students increase engagement by partnering with local agencies, organizations, and businesses for project-based learning, service learning, and career technical education (CTE) that addresses authentic, environmental issues and incorporates the scientific method of inquiry.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

- Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
- Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)
- Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Educational partner input, including teachers, parents, and students, indicated a desire for project-based learning. Service learning is an effective way of developing successful project-based learning. Stakeholders at community agencies, organizations, and businesses, indicate a high level of need for and support of career technical education.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
4.1	Suspension Rate LCFF Priority 6 School Climate	Suspension Rate is 4%	Suspension Rate is 4%	4.3	Suspension Rate of 0%	Increased by 0.3
4.2	Expulsion Rate LCFF Priority 6 School Climate	Expulsion Rate is 0%	Expulsion Rate is 0%	Expulsion Rate is 0%	Expulsion Rate of 0%	No change
4.3	Increase attendance rates LCFF Priority 5 Pupil Engagement	Attendance Rate is 96%	Attendance Rate is 95%	Attendance Rate is 93%	Attendance Rate of 97%	Decrease of 3%
4.4	Chronic Absenteeism rates	Chronic Absenteeism Rate is 5%	Chronic Absenteeism Rate is 6%	Chronic Absenteeism Rate is 5.9%	Chronic Absenteeism Rate of 0%	Increased by .9%

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	LCFF Priority 5 Pupil Engagement					
4.5	Middle School Dropout Rates LCFF Priority 5 Pupil Engagement	Middle School Dropout Rate is 0%	Middle School Dropout Rate is 0%	0%	Middle School dropout Rate of 0%	No Change
4.6	CTE Program Implementation LCFF Priorities 5 Pupil Engagement & 8 Other Pupil Outcomes	YES to open CTE programs in the 2024-2025 school year.	YES opened CTE programs in the 2024-2025 school year.	YES has CTE open in 9th and 10th grades in the 2025-26 school year	High School Dropout Rate of 0% CTE fully implemented	CTE has two grades in operation up from baseline of zero.

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

We faced challenges in lowering the suspension rate and chronic absenteeism rate. We were successful in continuing CTE in 9th grade and opening CTE in 10th grade.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were no significant material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

The field trips and the addition of dual enrollment were particularly effective in engaging students in college and career planning.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Next year we will engage student government in a wider range of decision making.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
4.1	Strategies, Programs, & Activities to Promote Student Engagement	The school will schedule monthly school wide activities planned in partnership with the YES Student Council. Student Council members are elected by their peers and include a Spirit Coordinator and representatives from each class. Additionally, YES will host student recognition assemblies at the end of each grading period/testing cycle for academic growth, PBIS (SPARRK) behavior, and attendance.		No
4.2	College and Career Explorations and Curriculum	Schedule field trips, assemblies, and guest speakers around college and career explorations. Purchase curriculum that samples varied careers from Career Technical Education (CTE), including, but not limited to Forestry and Natural Resources, Entrepreneurship, and Design, Visual and Media Arts.	\$8,000.00	Yes

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
5	Family Involvement & Engagement: Increase family involvement through school events focused on supporting their child(s) academic and personal success, as well as preparation for college and career.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

As we seek to increase family involvement and engagement, this goal has been developed for the 2023-24 academic year in order to highlight the desire for sustained involvement of our parents and families.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
5.1	Number of family members involved through input in decision-making via Site SSC and COD Committees LCFF Priority 3 Parent Involvement	2 to 3 parents participate in decision making meetings.	2 to 3 parents participate in decision making meetings.	3 to 4 parents participate in decision making meetings.	All parents will have access to decision making SSC and COD committees. 5 to 7 family members will regularly attend YES COD meetings and/or COD meetings and be involved in the decision making process.	There is a one person increase in parent participation in decision making meetings
5.2	Number of family members who attend opportunities for input in decision-making as well	Five annual workshops with an average of 5 participants each.	Three annual workshops with an average of 7 participants each.	Three annual meetings with an average of 9 participants each.	Nine annual programs/workshops with an average	Decrease from 5 to 3 meeting. Increase in the total number of

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	as participation in programs/workshops for families of unduplicated students and Students with Disabilities LCFF Priority 3 Parent Involvement				of 15 participants each.	participants from 25 to 27.

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

We implemented fewer workshops and had higher than anticipated participation in each one.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were not significant material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Meetings were more effective when we called participants to invite them or asked them in person at pick up or drop off. .

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

We plan to hold fewer meetings with the expectation of more participation per meeting.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
5.1	Strategies, Programs, & Activities to Promote Parent/Guardian Engagement	<p>The Family Liaison will hold daytime Coffee with the Principal, and evening social game & movie nights.</p> <p>Meetings of the SSC will be published at the start of the year, and regularly advertised through our newsletter to increase the number of parents who engage in the decision-making bodies of the school. We also encourage parents to attend the monthly meetings of the Council of Directors.</p> <p>Parent Square and the online News and Information tab on the school website will be the primary vehicles to ensure all families and staff are aware of these events.</p> <p>** Costs for this action include hospitality and materials. Parent Liaison salary captured in Goal 1.**</p>	\$500.00	No

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students [2026-27]

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant
\$563,192	\$58,415

Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year	LCFF Carryover — Percentage	LCFF Carryover — Dollar	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year
26.571%	0.000%	\$0.00	26.571%

The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.

Required Descriptions

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
1.1	<p>Action: Facilities, Safe and positive learning environment</p> <p>Need: To support student achievement, students need a safe and positive learning environment</p> <p>Scope:</p>	Facilities provide a safe and positive learning environment. All students need a campus and facilities that are conducive to classroom and outdoor learning.	Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT) Report Score of "Good"

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Schoolwide		
1.2	<p>Action: Staffing: Certificated Teachers including CTE and Administrators</p> <p>Need: To support student achievement, students need teachers and administrators who are highly qualified.</p> <p>Scope: Schoolwide</p>	Certificated and CTE teachers provide instruction to students. Administrators provide support to teachers. All students need teachers and administrators who are highly qualified.	Percentage of teachers that will be appropriately credentialed & assigned.
1.3	<p>Action: Standards aligned instructional materials</p> <p>Need: To support student achievement, students need standards aligned instructional materials</p> <p>Scope: Schoolwide</p>	Standards aligned instructional materials are used to instruct students. All students need to have access to standards aligned instructional materials.	Percentage of students who have access to standards aligned materials.
1.4	<p>Action: Implementation of academic content standards</p> <p>Need: To support student achievement, students need access to the content standards</p> <p>Scope: Schoolwide</p>	Content standards drive instruction. All students need access to academic content standards.	Percent of students who have access to academic content standards.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
<p>1.9</p>	<p>Action: Technology: Student Devices</p> <p>Need: To support student achievement, students need access to technology devices.</p> <p>Scope: Schoolwide</p>	<p>Technology devices are used to deliver content and other essential functions of instruction. All students need access to electronic devices.</p>	<p>Percent of students who have access to electronic devices.</p>
<p>1.10</p>	<p>Action: Professional Development</p> <p>Need: To support student achievement, students need teachers who have been provided professional development.</p> <p>Scope: Schoolwide</p>	<p>Professional development for teachers makes them more effective at supporting student achievement. All students need teachers who have been trained through professional development.</p>	<p>Percent of teachers who have been trained through professional development.</p>
<p>2.1</p>	<p>Action: Data informed ELA ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created and Monitored by ELA PLCs</p> <p>Need: To support student achievement, students need to have assessments that show their current level of understanding and academic ELA goals based upon their current levels. They need a plan to reach their academic goals, and ongoing instruction and monitoring.</p>	<p>Data informed Individualized Learning Plans (ILPs) targeted interventions, and regular monitoring by PLCs support students in academic achievement. All students need to know their current levels of understanding, academic goals based upon those levels, and instruction and monitoring to reach their academic goals.</p>	<p>Students' growth on local IXL ELA and fluency assessments</p>

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	<p>Scope: Schoolwide</p>		
<p>3.1</p>	<p>Action: Data informed Math ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created, Monitored and Modified by Math PLCs</p> <p>Need: To support student achievement, students need to have assessments that show their current level of understanding and academic math goals based upon their current levels. They need a plan to reach their academic goals, and ongoing instruction and monitoring.</p> <p>Scope: Schoolwide</p>	<p>Data informed Individualized Learning Plans (ILPs) targeted interventions, and regular monitoring by PLCs support students in academic achievement. All students need to know their current levels of understanding, math academic goals based upon those levels, and instruction and monitoring to reach their academic goals.</p>	<p>Students' growth on local iReady math assessments</p>
<p>3.2</p>	<p>Action: CAASPP Interim Assessment Practice Supported by Math PLCs</p> <p>Need: To support all students to score meets standard level (green) or above, or show significant growth from the previous year's CAASPP math assessment, students need CAASPP Interim Assessment practice and feedback on the assessments given by math PLCs.</p> <p>Scope: Schoolwide</p>	<p>CAASPP math interim assessments and feedback from PLCs support students achievement on CAASPP math assessments. All students need support on the CAASPP math assessment.</p>	<p>Students' achievement on math CASSPP assessments</p>

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
4.2	<p>Action: College and Career Explorations and Curriculum</p> <p>Need: To support all students college and career readiness, students need field trips, assemblies, and guest speakers around college and career explorations.</p> <p>Scope: Schoolwide</p>	Field trips, assemblies, and guest speakers on the topic of college and career explorations supports students prepare for college and career. All students need to prepare for college and or career.	Increased attendance rates, low drop out rate.

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
-------------------	--------------------	---	------------------------------------

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

Services will be improved by ensuring more students are present for instruction with an increased ADA level, providing quality instruction to students through implementation of high quality twice monthly PLC work on improving student achievement by teaching staff, reducing the staff to student ratios with the use of paraprofessionals, and increasing use of student achievement data with the implementation of a standards aligned Mathematics and ELA curriculum. 2025-26 was a continued refinement year for the implementation of the Illustrative Math curriculum. It was the second year for the implementation of Savvas, the recently adopted ELA curriculum. Data analysis and intervention to ensure that all students experience academic success is centered in PLCs. Individual student data in math and ELA is managed by the Tech department in collaboration with site administrator, and monitored by PLCs, site administrator, and homeroom teacher in K-5 and subject single subject teacher in 7-11.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

YES has a foster youth and English learner concentration of less than 55 percent and a low-income concentration of over 55 percent. The 2024-25 CA Dashboard listed the school's low-income rate at 75%.

Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students	Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less	Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent
Staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students	NA	1:14
Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students	NA	1:9

2026-27 Total Planned Expenditures Table

LCAP Year	1. Projected LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage from Prior Year (Input Percentage)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)											
Totals	2,119,561	563,192	26.571%	0.000%	26.571%											
Totals	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	Total Funds	Local Funds	Other State Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services				
Totals	\$2,427,552.00	\$590,363.00	\$1,000.00	\$66,788.00	\$3,085,703.00	\$505,865.00										
Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
1	1.1	Facilities, Safe and positive learning environment	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		\$0.00	\$259,688.00	\$123,589.00	\$136,099.00			\$259,688.00	
1	1.2	Staffing: Certificated Teachers including CTE and Administrators	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		\$1,499,724.00	\$0.00	\$1,247,843.00	\$195,093.00		\$56,788.00	\$1,499,724.00	
1	1.3	Standards aligned instructional materials	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		\$1,080,114.00	\$0.00	\$820,943.00	\$259,171.00			\$1,080,114.00	
1	1.4	Implementation of academic content standards	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		\$0.00	\$125,000.00	\$125,000.00				\$125,000.00	
1	1.5	System of Assessments - Formative & Summative	All	No			All Schools	Ongoing	\$0.00	\$25,000.00	\$25,000.00				\$25,000.00	
1	1.6	Core Curriculum: Annual Replenishment of Consumables for ELA, Mathematics, Science, & History	All	No			All Schools		\$0.00	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00				\$10,000.00	
1	1.7	Software Licenses- Admin/Operations	All	No			All Schools		\$0.00	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00				\$20,000.00	
1	1.8	Technology: Instructional Technology	All	No			All Schools		\$0.00	\$5,526.00	\$5,526.00			\$10,000.00	\$15,526.00	
1	1.9	Technology: Student Devices	English Learners Low Income	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Low Income	All Schools		\$0.00	\$41,151.00	\$41,151.00				\$41,151.00	
1	1.10	Professional Development	English Learners Low Income	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Low Income	All Schools		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00				\$0.00	

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
2	2.1	Data informed ELA ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created and Monitored by ELA PLCs	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools									
2	2.2	Writing Initiative	All	No			All Schools									
3	3.1	Data informed Math ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created, Monitored and Modified by Math PLCs	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools									
3	3.2	CAASPP Interim Assessment Practice Supported by Math PLCs	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		\$0.00	\$1,000.00			\$1,000.00		\$1,000.00	
3	3.3	PBL Environmental Science Project	All	No			All Schools									
4	4.1	Strategies, Programs, & Activities to Promote Student Engagement	All	No			All Schools									
4	4.2	College and Career Explorations and Curriculum	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$8,000.00				\$8,000.00	
5	5.1	Strategies, Programs, & Activities to Promote Parent/Guardian Engagement	All	No			All Schools		\$0.00	\$500.00	\$500.00				\$500.00	

2026-27 Contributing Actions Table

1. Projected LCFF Base Grant	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)	Totals by Type	Total LCFF Funds
2,119,561	563,192	26.571%	0.000%	26.571%	\$2,372,052.00	0.000%	111.912 %	Total:	\$2,372,052.00
								LEA-wide Total:	\$0.00
								Limited Total:	\$0.00
								Schoolwide Total:	\$2,372,052.00

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
1	1.1	Facilities, Safe and positive learning environment	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$123,589.00	
1	1.2	Staffing: Certified Teachers including CTE and Administrators	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$1,247,843.00	
1	1.3	Standards aligned instructional materials	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$820,943.00	
1	1.4	Implementation of academic content standards	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$125,000.00	
1	1.9	Technology: Student Devices	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Low Income	All Schools	\$5,526.00	
1	1.10	Professional Development	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Low Income	All Schools	\$41,151.00	
2	2.1	Data informed ELA ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created and Monitored by ELA PLCs	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
3	3.1	Data informed Math ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created, Monitored and Modified by Math PLCs	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		
3	3.2	CAASPP Interim Assessment Practice Supported by Math PLCs	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		
4	4.2	College and Career Explorations and Curriculum	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$8,000.00	

2025-26 Annual Update Table

Totals	Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Total Estimated Expenditures (Total Funds)
Totals	\$3,085,703.00	\$0.00

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
1	1.1	Facilities, Safe and positive learning environment	Yes	\$259,688.00	
1	1.2	Staffing: Certificated Teachers including CTE and Administrators	Yes	\$1,499,724.00	
1	1.3	Standards aligned instructional materials	Yes	\$1,080,114.00	
1	1.4	Implementation of academic content standards	Yes	\$125,000.00	
1	1.5	System of Assessments - Formative & Summative	No	\$25,000.00	
1	1.6	Core Curriculum: Annual Replenishment of Consumables for ELA, Mathematics, Science, & History	No	\$10,000.00	
1	1.7	Software Licenses-Admin/Operations	No		
1	1.8	Technology: Instructional Technology	No	\$20,000.00	
1	1.9	Technology: Student Devices	Yes	\$15,526.00	
1	1.10	Professional Development	Yes	\$41,151.00	

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
2	2.1	Data informed ELA ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created and Monitored by ELA PLCs	Yes		
2	2.2	Writing Initiative	No		
3	3.1	Data informed Math ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created, Monitored and Modified by Math PLCs	Yes		
3	3.2	CAASPP Interim Assessment Practice Supported by Math PLCs	Yes		
3	3.3	PBL Environmental Science Project	No	\$1,000.00	
4	4.1	Strategies, Programs, & Activities to Promote Student Engagement	No		
4	4.2	College and Career Explorations and Curriculum	Yes	\$8,000.00	
5	5.1	Strategies, Programs, & Activities to Promote Parent/Guardian Engagement	No	\$500.00	

2025-26 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

6. Estimated LCF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	7. Total Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	8. Total Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)	
	\$2,372,052.00	\$0.00	\$2,372,052.00	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	
Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
1	1.1	Facilities, Safe and positive learning environment	Yes	\$123,589.00			
1	1.2	Staffing: Certificated Teachers including CTE and Administrators	Yes	\$1,247,843.00			
1	1.3	Standards aligned instructional materials	Yes	\$820,943.00			
1	1.4	Implementation of academic content standards	Yes	\$125,000.00			
1	1.9	Technology: Student Devices	Yes	\$5,526.00			
1	1.10	Professional Development	Yes	\$41,151.00			
2	2.1	Data informed ELA ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created and Monitored by ELA PLCs	Yes				
3	3.1	Data informed Math ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created, Monitored and Modified by Math PLCs	Yes				
3	3.2	CAASPP Interim Assessment Practice Supported by Math PLCs	Yes				
4	4.2	College and Career Explorations and Curriculum	Yes	\$8,000.00			

2025-26 LCFF Carryover Table

9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)	7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)	11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)	12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)	13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)
1,846,059		0	0.000%	\$0.00	0.000%	0.000%	\$0.00	0.000%

Local Control and Accountability Plan Instructions

Plan Summary

Engaging Educational Partners

Goals and Actions

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

Introduction and Instructions

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- **Comprehensive Strategic Planning:** The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (California Education Code [EC] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.
- **Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners:** The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.
- **Accountability and Compliance:** The LCAP serves an important accountability function because the nature of some LCAP template sections require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:
 - Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (EC Section 52064[b][4-6]).
 - Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (EC sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).
 - **NOTE:** As specified in EC Section 62064(b)(1), the LCAP must provide a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified pursuant to EC Section 52052, to be achieved for each of the state priorities. Beginning in 2023–24, EC

Section 52052 identifies long-term English learners as a separate and distinct pupil subgroup with a numerical significance at 15 students.

- o Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (EC Section 52064[b][7]).
- o Ensuring that all increases attributable to supplemental and concentration grant calculations, including concentration grant add-on funding and/or LCFF carryover, are reflected in the LCAP (EC sections 52064[b][6], [8], and [11]).

The LCAP template, like each LEA's final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which must: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in EC sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2024–25, 2025–26, and 2026–27 school years reflects statutory changes made through Senate Bill 114 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), Chapter 48, Statutes of 2023 and Senate Bill 153, Chapter 38, Statutes of 2024.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA's diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

- Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the Dashboard, how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions which, based on research, experience, and input gathered from educational partners, the LEA believes will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP document. Additionally, the beginning of each template section includes information emphasizing the purpose that section serves.

Plan Summary

Purpose

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to present a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included throughout each subsequent section of the LCAP.

Requirements and Instructions

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA. LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.

Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA.

- For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information the LEA may wish to include can enable a reader to more fully understand the LEA's LCAP.
- LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.
- As part of this response, identify all schools within the LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funding.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Reflect on the LEA's annual performance on the Dashboard and local data. This may include both successes and challenges identified by the LEA during the development process.

LEAs are encouraged to highlight how they are addressing the identified needs of student groups, and/or schools within the LCAP as part of this response.

As part of this response, the LEA must identify the following, which will remain unchanged during the three-year LCAP cycle:

- Any school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard;
- Any student group within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard; and/or
- Any student group within a school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard.

EC Section 52064.4 requires that an LEA that has unexpended Learning Recovery Emergency Block Grant (LREBG) funds must include one or more actions funded with LREBG funds within the 2026-27, 2026-27 and 2027-28 LCAPs, as applicable to the LEA. To implement the requirements of EC Section 52064.4, all LEAs must do the following:

- For the 2025–26, 2026–27, and 2027–28 LCAP years, identify whether or not the LEA has unexpended LREBG funds for the applicable LCAP year.
 - If the LEA has unexpended LREBG funds the LEA must provide the following:
 - The goal and action number for each action that will be funded, either in whole or in part, with LREBG funds; and
 - An explanation of the rationale for selecting each action funded with LREBG funds. This explanation must include:
 - An explanation of how the action is aligned with the allowable uses of funds identified in [EC Section 32526\(c\)\(2\)](#); and
 - An explanation of how the action is expected to address the area(s) of need of students and schools identified in the needs assessment required by [EC Section 32526\(d\)](#).
 - For information related to the allowable uses of funds and the required needs assessment, please see the Program Information tab on the [LREBG Program Information](#) web page.
 - Actions may be grouped together for purposes of these explanations.
 - The LEA may provide these explanations as part of the action description rather than as part of the Reflections: Annual Performance.
 - If the LEA does not have unexpended LREBG funds, the LEA is not required to conduct the needs assessment required by EC Section 32627(d), to provide the information identified above or to include actions funded with LREBG funds within the 2026-27, 2026-27 and 2027-28 LCAPs.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Annually identify the reason(s) the LEA is eligible for or has requested technical assistance consistent with EC sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, and provide a summary of the work underway as part of receiving technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance, however this also includes LEAs that have requested technical assistance from their COE.

- If the LEA is not eligible for or receiving technical assistance, the LEA may respond to this prompt as “Not Applicable.”

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

- Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

- Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

- Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

Engaging Educational Partners Purpose

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public to understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

Requirements Requirements

School districts and COEs: [EC Section 52060\(g\)](#) and [EC Section 52066\(g\)](#) specify the educational partners that must be consulted when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,

- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Local bargaining units of the LEA,
- Parents, and
- Students

A school district or COE receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the applicable committees, as identified below under Requirements and Instructions. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

Charter schools: [EC Section 47606.5\(d\)](#) requires that the following educational partners be consulted with when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Parents, and
- Students

A charter school receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at the school generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for the school.

The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals. Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the [CDE's LCAP webpage](#).

Before the governing board/body of an LEA considers the adoption of the LCAP, the LEA must meet the following legal requirements:

- For school districts, see [Education Code Section 52062](#);
- **Note:** Charter schools using the LCAP as the School Plan for Student Achievement must meet the requirements of EC Section 52062(a).
- For COEs, see [Education Code Section 52068](#); and
- For charter schools, see [Education Code Section 47606.5](#).

- **NOTE:** As a reminder, the superintendent of a school district or COE must respond, in writing, to comments received by the applicable committees identified in the *Education Code* sections listed above. This includes the parent advisory committee and may include the English learner parent advisory committee and, as of July 1, 2024, the student advisory committee, as applicable.

Instructions

Respond to the prompts as follows:

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Complete the table as follows:

Educational Partners

Identify the applicable educational partner(s) or group(s) that were engaged in the development of the LCAP.

Process for Engagement

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve the identified educational partner(s) in the development of the LCAP. At a minimum, the LEA must describe how it met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA's philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also include a summary of how it consulted with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Describe any goals, metrics, actions, or budgeted expenditures in the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback.

- A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must include a description of how the consultation with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds influenced the development of the adopted LCAP.
- For the purposes of this prompt, this may also include, but is not necessarily limited to:
 - Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
 - Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
 - Determination of the target outcome on one or more metrics
 - Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
 - Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
 - Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
 - Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
 - Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated students
 - Analysis of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
 - Analysis of material differences in expenditures
 - Analysis of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
 - Analysis of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

Goals and Actions

Purpose

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal must be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

Requirements and Instructions

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs must consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard, in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP. As previously stated, strategic planning that

is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students, and to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- Focus Goal: A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.
 - All Equity Multiplier goals must be developed as focus goals. For additional information, see Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding below.
- Broad Goal: A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.
- Maintenance of Progress Goal: A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

Requirement to Address the LCFF State Priorities

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics articulated in *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d), as applicable to the LEA. The [LCFF State Priorities Summary](#) provides a summary of *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d) to aid in the development of the LCAP.

Respond to the following prompts, as applicable:

Focus Goal(s)

Description

The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound.

- An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach.
- The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding

Description

LEAs receiving Equity Multiplier funding must include one or more focus goals for each school generating Equity Multiplier funding. In addition to addressing the focus goal requirements described above, LEAs must adhere to the following requirements.

Focus goals for Equity Multiplier schoolsites must address the following:

- (A) All student groups that have the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard, and
- (B) Any underlying issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.
- Focus Goals for each and every Equity Multiplier schoolsite must identify specific metrics for each identified student group, as applicable.
- An LEA may create a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites if those schoolsites have the same student group(s) performing at the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard or, experience similar issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators.
 - When creating a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites, the goal must identify the student groups and the performance levels on the Dashboard that the Focus Goal is addressing; or,
 - The common issues the schoolsites are experiencing in credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as an Equity Multiplier Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.
- In addition to this information, the LEA must also identify:
 - The school or schools to which the goal applies

LEAs are encouraged to approach an Equity Multiplier goal from a holistic standpoint, considering how the goal might maximize student outcomes through the use of LCFF and other funding in addition to Equity Multiplier funds.

- Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELO-P), the Literacy Coaches and Reading Specialists (LCRS) Grant Program, and/or the California Community Schools Partnership Program (CCSPP).
- This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.

Note: [EC Section 42238.024\(b\)\(1\)](#) requires that Equity Multiplier funds be used for the provision of evidence-based services and supports for students. Evidence-based services and supports are based on objective evidence that has informed the design of the service or support and/or guides the modification of those services and supports. Evidence-based supports and strategies are most commonly based on educational research and/or metrics of LEA, school, and/or student performance.

Broad Goal

Description

Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal.

- The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal.

- The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner.
- A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Broad Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

Maintenance of Progress Goal

Description

Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP.

- Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP.
- The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Maintenance of Progress Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

Measuring and Reporting Results:

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes.

- LEAs must identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that address and reduce disparities in outcomes between student groups.
- The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA's LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year, as applicable to the type of LEA.
- To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant local indicator self-reflection tools within the Dashboard.
- **Required metrics for LEA-wide actions:** For each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
 - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section, however the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.
- **Required metrics for Equity Multiplier goals:** For each Equity Multiplier goal, the LEA must identify:
 - The specific metrics for each identified student group at each specific schoolsite, as applicable, to measure the progress toward the goal, and/or
 - The specific metrics used to measure progress in meeting the goal related to credentialing, subject matter preparation, or educator retention at each specific schoolsite.
- **Required metrics for actions supported by LREBG funds:** To implement the requirements of EC Section 52064.4, LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include at least one metric to monitor the impact of each action funded with LREBG funds included in the goal.
 - The metrics being used to monitor the impact of each action funded with LREBG funds are not required to be new metrics; they may be metrics that are already being used to measure progress towards goals and actions included in the LCAP.

Complete the table as follows:

Metric #

- Enter the metric number.

Metric

- Identify the standard of measure being used to determine progress towards the goal and/or to measure the effectiveness of one or more actions associated with the goal.

Baseline

- Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2024–25.
 - Use the most recent data associated with the metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2023 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available data (e.g., high school graduation rate).
 - Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS.
 - Indicate the school year to which the baseline data applies.
 - The baseline data must remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.
 - This requirement is not intended to prevent LEAs from revising the baseline data if it is necessary to do so. For example, if an LEA identifies that its data collection practices for a particular metric are leading to inaccurate data and revises its practice to obtain accurate data, it would also be appropriate for the LEA to revise the baseline data to align with the more accurate data process and report its results using the accurate data.
 - If an LEA chooses to revise its baseline data, then, at a minimum, it must clearly identify the change as part of its response to the description of changes prompt in the Goal Analysis for the goal. LEAs are also strongly encouraged to involve their educational partners in the decision of whether or not to revise a baseline and to communicate the proposed change to their educational partners.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a new baseline each year, as applicable.

Year 1 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may provide the Year 1 Outcome when completing the LCAP for both 2025–26 and 2026–27 or may provide the Year 1 Outcome for 2025–26 and provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Year 2 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2026–27, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.

- Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may identify the Year 2 Outcome as not applicable when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 or may provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Target for Year 3 Outcome

- When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the target outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the three-year LCAP cycle.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a Target for Year 1 or Target for Year 2, as applicable.

Current Difference from Baseline

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27, enter the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome, as applicable.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP will identify the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 1 and/or the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 2, as applicable.

Timeline for school districts and COEs for completing the “**Measuring and Reporting Results**” part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2025–26 . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27 . Leave blank until then.

Goal Analysis:

Enter the LCAP Year.

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective towards achieving the goal. “Effective” means the degree to which the planned actions were successful in producing the target result. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

Note: When completing the 2024–25 LCAP, use the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update template to complete the Goal Analysis and identify the Goal Analysis prompts in the 2024–25 LCAP as “Not Applicable.”

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal, including relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.
 - Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.
 - This discussion must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal. “Effectiveness” means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the target result and “ineffectiveness” means that the actions did not produce any significant or targeted result.
 - In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
 - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
 - Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
 - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:

- The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
- How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

Actions:

Complete the table as follows. Add additional rows as necessary.

Action #

- Enter the action number.

Title

- Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables.

Description

- Provide a brief description of the action.
 - For actions that contribute to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA may include an explanation of how each action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA's goals for unduplicated students, as described in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.
 - As previously noted, for each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
 - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section; however, the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

Total Funds

- Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the action tables.

Contributing

- Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a “Y” for Yes or an “N” for No.
 - **Note:** for each such contributing action, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Services section to address the requirements in *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 [5 CCR] Section 15496 in the Increased or Improved Services section of the LCAP.

Actions for Foster Youth: School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant foster youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to foster youth students.

Required Actions

For English Learners and Long-Term English Learners

- LEAs with 30 or more English learners and/or 15 or more long-term English learners must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum:
 - Language acquisition programs, as defined in *EC* Section 306, provided to students, and
 - Professional development for teachers.
 - If an LEA has both 30 or more English learners and 15 or more long-term English learners, the LEA must include actions for both English learners and long-term English learners.

For Technical Assistance

- LEAs eligible for technical assistance pursuant to *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, must include specific actions within the LCAP related to its implementation of the work underway as part of technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance.

For Lowest Performing Dashboard Indicators

- LEAs that have Red Dashboard indicators for (1) a school within the LEA, (2) a student group within the LEA, and/or (3) a student group within any school within the LEA must include one or more specific actions within the LCAP:
 - The specific action(s) must be directed towards the identified student group(s) and/or school(s) and must address the identified state indicator(s) for which the student group or school received the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard. Each student group and/or school that receives the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard must be addressed by one or more actions.
 - These required actions will be effective for the three-year LCAP cycle.

For LEAs With Unexpended LREBG Funds

- To implement the requirements of *EC* Section 52064.4, LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include one or more actions supported with LREBG funds within the 2025–26, 2026–27, and 2027–28 LCAPs, as applicable to the LEA. Actions funded with LREBG funds must remain in the LCAP until the LEA has expended the remainder of its LREBG funds, after which time the actions may be removed from the LCAP.
 - Prior to identifying the actions included in the LCAP the LEA is required to conduct a needs assessment pursuant to [EC Section 32526\(d\)](#). For information related to the required needs assessment please see the Program Information tab on the [LREBG](#)

[Program Information](#) web page. Additional information about the needs assessment and evidence-based resources for the LREBG may be found on the [California Statewide System of Support LREBG Resources](#) web page. The required LREBG needs assessment may be part of the LEAs regular needs assessment for the LCAP if it meets the requirements of EC Section 32627(d).

- School districts receiving technical assistance and COEs providing technical assistance are encouraged to use the technical assistance process to support the school district in conducting the required needs assessment; the selection of actions funded by the LREBG and/or the evaluation of implementation of the actions required as part of the LCAP annual update process.
- As a reminder, LREBG funds must be used to implement one or more of the purposes articulated in [EC Section 32526\(c\)\(2\)](#).
- LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include one or more actions supported by LREBG funds within the LCAP. For each action supported by LREBG funding the action description must:
 - Identify the action as an LREBG action;
 - Include an explanation of how research supports the selected action;
 - Identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the impact of the action; and
 - Identify the amount of LREBG funds being used to support the action.

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

Purpose

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students as defined in EC Section 42238.02 in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be succinctly to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA’s description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

Please Note: For the purpose of meeting the Increased or Improved Services requirement and consistent with EC Section 42238.02, long-term English learners are included in the English learner student group.

Statutory Requirements

An LEA is required to demonstrate in its LCAP how it is increasing or improving services for its students who are foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income, collectively referred to as unduplicated students, as compared to the services provided to all students in proportion to the increase in funding it receives based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the LEA (EC Section 42238.07[a][1]), EC

Section 52064[b][8][B]; 5 CCR Section 15496[a]). This proportionality percentage is also known as the “minimum proportionality percentage” or “MPP.” The manner in which an LEA demonstrates it is meeting its MPP is two-fold: (1) through the expenditure of LCFF funds or through the identification of a Planned Percentage of Improved Services as documented in the Contributing Actions Table, and (2) through the explanations provided in the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.

To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are identified in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided across the entire LEA (LEA-wide action), provided to an entire school (Schoolwide action), or solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s) (Limited action).

Therefore, for *any* action contributing to meet the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA must include an explanation of:

- How the action is increasing or improving services for the unduplicated student group(s) (Identified Needs and Action Design), and
- How the action meets the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas (Measurement of Effectiveness).

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

In addition to the above required explanations, LEAs must provide a justification for why an LEA-wide or Schoolwide action is being provided to all students and how the action is intended to improve outcomes for unduplicated student group(s) as compared to all students.

- Conclusive statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.
- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

For School Districts Only

Actions provided on an **LEA-wide** basis at **school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent** must also include a description of how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Actions provided on a **Schoolwide** basis for **schools with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils** must also include a description of how these actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Requirements and Instructions

Complete the tables as follows:

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants

2026-27 Local Control and Accountability Plan for Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy

- Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. This amount includes the Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant.

Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant

- Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in EC Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(7).

LCFF Carryover — Percentage

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

LCFF Carryover — Dollar

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero (\$0).

Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEA's percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(7).

Required Descriptions:

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

If the LEA has provided this required description in the Action Descriptions, state as such within the table.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed.

An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards an unduplicated student group(s) when the LEA explains the need(s), condition(s), or circumstance(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) identified through a needs assessment and how the action addresses them. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis

Provide an explanation of how the action as designed will address the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed and the rationale for why the action is being provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis.

- As stated above, conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.
- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

Note for COEs and Charter Schools: In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

If the LEA has provided the required descriptions in the Action Descriptions, state as such.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served identified through the LEA's needs assessment. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)

Provide an explanation of how the action is designed to address the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

- For each action with an identified Planned Percentage of Improved Services, identify the goal and action number and describe the methodology that was used.
- When identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.
- For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Total Planned Expenditures Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in EC Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:

- An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.

- Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.
- An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as a single-school LEA or an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.
- In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
 - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
 - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.
- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
 - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
 - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

Action Tables

Complete the Total Planned Expenditures Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Total Planned Expenditures Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. The word "input" has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2024–25 LCAP, 2024–25 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2023–24 will be the current LCAP Year.

Total Planned Expenditures Table

In the Total Planned Expenditures Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- **LCAP Year:** Identify the applicable LCAP Year.
- **1. Projected LCFF Base Grant:** Provide the total amount estimated LCFF entitlement for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs.

See EC sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- **2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.
- **3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.
- **LCFF Carryover — Percentage:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).
- **Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover —

Percentage. ***This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.***

- **Goal #:** Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.
- **Action #:** Enter the action's number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.
- **Action Title:** Provide a title of the action.
- **Student Group(s):** Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering "All," or by entering a specific student group or groups.
- **Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?:** Type "Yes" if the action is included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement; OR, type "No" if the action is **not** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement.
- If "Yes" is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:
 - **Scope:** The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.
 - **Unduplicated Student Group(s):** Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups. Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.
 - **Location:** Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools." If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans." Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.
- **Time Span:** Enter "ongoing" if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter "1 Year," or "2 Years," or "6 Months."
- **Total Personnel:** Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.
- **Total Non-Personnel:** This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.

- **LCFF Funds:** Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA's total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).
- **Note:** For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement, it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.
- **Other State Funds:** Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
 - **Note:** Equity Multiplier funds must be included in the "Other State Funds" category, not in the "LCFF Funds" category. As a reminder, Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP. This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LEA's LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.
- **Local Funds:** Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Federal Funds:** Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Total Funds:** This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.
- **Planned Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.
 - As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Contributing Actions Table

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses.

Annual Update Table

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures:** Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- **Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions:** Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.
- **Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).
 - Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been \$169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of \$169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

LCFF Carryover Table

- **9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant:** Provide the total amount of estimated LCFF Target Entitlement for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program,

the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs. See EC sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year:** This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

Calculations in the Action Tables

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

Contributing Actions Table

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
 - This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column.
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services**
 - This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)**
 - This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

Pursuant to EC Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display “Not Required.”

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants**

- This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
 - This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**
 - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)**
 - This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4).
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
 - This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
 - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)**
 - This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

LCFF Carryover Table

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 plus Carryover %)**
 - This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.
- **11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)**
 - This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).
- **12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)**

- If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.

The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.

- **13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)**

- This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).

California Department of Education
November 2024



LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name: Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy

CDS Code: 58105870117242

School Year: 2026-27

LEA contact information:

Louise Miller

Principal/Superintendent

lmiller@yescharteracademy.org

(530) 692-2210

School districts receive funding from different sources: state funds under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), other state funds, local funds, and federal funds. LCFF funds include a base level of funding for all LEAs and extra funding - called "supplemental and concentration" grants - to LEAs based on the enrollment of high needs students (Foster Youth, English learners, and low-income students).

Budget Overview for the 2026-27 School Year

Projected Revenue by Fund Source


Total LCFF funds
\$0
0 %

This chart shows the total general purpose revenue Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy expects to receive in the coming year from all sources.

The text description for the above chart is as follows: The total revenue projected for Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy is \$0, of which \$ is Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), \$ is other state funds, \$ is local funds, and \$ is federal funds. Of the \$ in LCFF Funds, \$ is generated based on the enrollment of high needs students (Foster Youth, English learner, and low-income students).

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

The LCFF gives school districts more flexibility in deciding how to use state funds. In exchange, school districts must work with parents, educators, students, and the community to develop a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) that shows how they will use these funds to serve students.



This chart provides a quick summary of how much Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy plans to spend for 2026-27. It shows how much of the total is tied to planned actions and services in the LCAP.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy plans to spend \$ for the 2026-27 school year. Of that amount, \$ is tied to actions/services in the LCAP and \$0 is not included in the LCAP. The budgeted expenditures that are not included in the LCAP will be used for the following:


Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in the LCAP for the 2026-27 School Year

In 2026-27, Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy is projecting it will receive \$ based on the enrollment of Foster Youth, English learner, and low-income students. Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy must describe how it intends to increase or improve services for high needs students in the LCAP. Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy plans to spend \$ towards meeting this requirement, as described in the LCAP.

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Update on Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in 2025-26

Prior Year Expenditures: Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students



This chart compares what Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy budgeted last year in the LCAP for actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students with what Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy estimates it has spent on actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students in the current year.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: In 2025-26, Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy's LCAP budgeted \$ for planned actions to increase or improve services for high needs students. Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy actually spent \$ for actions to increase or improve services for high needs students in 2025-26.



Local Control and Accountability Plan

The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy	Louise Miller Principal/Superintendent	lmiller@yescharteracademy.org (530) 692-2210

Plan Summary [2026-27]

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA. LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.

YES Charter Academy is a free public charter school for TKK through eleventh grade focusing on the application of the scientific method through hands-on learning. Since our opening in 2008, we have grown to serve students from the various communities in Yuba County. Our mission is to cultivate a nurturing educational environment that pairs rigorous scientific inquiry with the highest standards of both academic and moral conduct. We use School Wide PBIS to “SPARRK” students to be: Stewards of the environment, Positive team players, Academic masters, Responsible citizens, Resourceful, and Kind.

In the process of developing the 2026-27 LCAP the team analyzed data from the 2024 and 2025 CAASPP and CAST assessments. We experienced significant growth in Math in 2024 but did not show growth in reading. We made growth on the CAST in 2024. In 2025 we made growth in both math and reading. Our goals this year reflect our commitment to continue steady and sustainable growth in math, reading, and science.

YES's significant sub groups are Hispanic, White, and Low SES. We continue to closely monitor the academic achievement of the school's subgroups.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Over the past three years, YES students have shown growth on CAASPP assessment in both reading and math and on the CAST in science. YES was designated as middle performing based upon 2025 CAASPP achievement and was rechartered for five years. In local data, namely IXL diagnostic assessments in reading and math, students showed growth from the beginning to the end of the 2025-26 academic year.

Areas of Strength:

CAASPP

ELA: YES percentage of students who meet or exceeded state standards: 33%, which is higher than our previous year by 10% and higher than our local district by 10 percent.

Math: YES percentage of students who meet or exceeded state standards: 27% which is higher than our previous year of 23% and higher than our local district by more than double.

Areas of Need:

CAASPP

ELA: Percentage of those not tested:

Males 4.23%

White: 4.55%

Hispanic or Latino: 2.27%

SED: 4.17%

SWD: 3.70%

Those sub-groups who had the lowest percentage of who met or exceeded standards:

Males: 30.88% performing lower than females

Hispanic or Latino: 25.58% performing lower than whites

SED 34.78% performing higher in ELA than Math

Those sub-groups who had the lowest percentage of who met or exceeded standards:

SWD: 26.92% performing higher in ELA than math

Females: 25% performing lower than males in math

Hispanic or Latino: 23.81% lower than all subgroups except two or more races

Science: About the same from 2023-24 to 2024-25 26.83% to slight decrease 26.67%. Much better than district but lower than State average.

Dashboard indicators: YES students since 2023 have continued growth in both ELA and Math distance from standard scores coming closer to level 3 to meet standards.

YES student 2025 scores for ELA DFS: 43.6 points with 64.6% of student lowering their DFS and improving 18.4 points. Hispanic group is the farthest from standard at 52.2% with SED showing the most improvement of 22.6 points.

YES student 2025 scores for Math DFS: 67.2 points with 62.5% lowering their DFS and improving by 12.2 points. Hispanic group is the furthest away from standard at 77.4 points with SED showing the most improvement of 23.4 points.

From the above data we continue to show improvement by focusing on ILP's for Math and frequently monitoring the growth of sub-groups who have the highest DFS scores throughout the year

Continue to focus on equity and provide SEL and safety, improve attendance and reduce suspensions
SWD need ELA interventions
Males need ELA interventions
Females need math interventions
Hispanics need ELA interventions

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

n/a

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy was identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement.

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

YES is a single school district. We will use data from the California Dashboard and additional data from our local assessments, IXL diagnostics, to develop a comprehensive support plan. We carry out continuous cycles of improvement in professional learning communities (PLCs). PLCs in TK through 2nd, 3rd through 6th, and 7th through 11th, will focus on math and reading data to make data driven instructional decisions. PLCs, with the support of school administration, will use data to determine which students are in need of interventions, what interventions are needed, and collaborate to ensure timely and targeted interventions are provided. In addition, administration will support YES teachers in planning and delivering excellent first time instruction. YES will regularly engage its parent committees and Council of Directors to raise the awareness and detail the school's response to data throughout the year.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

YES will monitor IXL assessment data and data from the LCAP to monitor progress and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement. Teachers will meet in PLCs to collaboratively monitor and evaluate student improvement. YES administration will observe and receive reports from the PLCs. YES administration will present an academic dashboard with IXL assessment data at the monthly YES Council of Directors meetings to enable YES COD members to monitor and support school improvement.

Engaging Educational Partners

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
<p>Educational Partner(s) Yes Charter Academy engaged with educational partners that include teachers, administrators, other school personnel, parents and students YES used the consultants at Collaborative Ed Advisors to develop high school classes and to train high school teachers on teaching classes the adopted classes. Silicon Schools provided a team of YES teachers training on using AI in educational settings.</p>	<p>Process for Engagement YES Charter Academy is committed to purposeful engagement of all educational partners to develop and modify goals. We do this through varied means:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Holding stakeholder engagement meetings aka roadmap meetings annually • Hosting triannual family liaison community engagement for parents • Holding monthly parent advisory club meetings • Surveying student, parent and all staff need assessment and climate surveys annually • Holding monthly credentialled and non-credentialled staff meetings. <p>Although YES does not have significant English Learner, homeless or foster student groups, we do seek feedback from those partners who have significant input from all group demographics to identify program needs and areas for improvement.</p>

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

YES surveyed parents, students and staff members. The adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback in several ways:

Education Partner Engagement – Staff Members

While 75% of YES educators reported that they did receive enough professional development to support the diverse needs of students, only 30% reported that they feel well prepared to deliver this support. One respondent remarked that, “Roleplay and re-enactment with difficult scenarios” would be helpful.

This finding shows a need to regularly review the concepts and practices taught in professional development including roleplay of difficult scenarios, and to frequently survey and respond to educators’ needs. The LCAP reflects our efforts in offering multiple trainings such as Conscious Discipline and restorative practices training that teach our staff how to respond to diverse student needs.

While 75% YES educators reported that they have access to the instructional materials and technology they need to teach students effectively, 25% report that they do not.

This finding shows a need to regularly survey educators about their specific needs for instructional materials and technology, and to provide the necessary training on the technology to teach their students effectively. This is reflected in the LCAP to provide adequate funding to provide instructional materials, technology and adequate training.

Parent Survey

Significant findings from the Parent Survey are as follows:

The reasons that parents choose to send their children to YES are positive. The highest percentage, 45%, reported that they were attracted to the school’s environmental and project based curriculum. While 10% reported leaving other schools because of bullying, the balance reported positive pulls to the school: moving to the area (10%), students wanted to attend (10%), and the balance, other reasons such as amazing food, school garden, and living nearby.

This finding shows that YES has been successful with their educational model of environmental science and project based curriculum, but needs to continue to implement equitable practices and provide restorative practices to enhance the sense of safety and belonging for all its students.

When asked about problems at the school, two areas of concern stood out, rated by over 20% of parents as a large problem. These were “student vaping or e-cigarette use” and “provide safer environment”

The findings indicate a need for (1) increased use of vaping educational programming, where students are given vaping prevention education after being found with a vape on campus in lieu of suspension or punishment. (2) The need for all students to feel safe is rooted in building a relationship driven culture where all students feel that they have a trusted adult on campus. This is reflected in the LCAP to elevate student voices, including them on decision making, developing our restorative practices and other social emotional programming via our Family Liaison, High School Counselor and Social worker.

Two areas of concern stood out, rated by 15 to 20% of parents as a large problem. These were “Physical fighting between students” and “Provide extracurricular activities.”

These findings indicate a need for increased use of initiatives such as restorative practices. This is reflected in the LCAP with the addition of a social worker and training in restorative circles. The student surveys show a need for an increase in extra-curricular activities such as sports and clubs. This is reflected in the LCAP with the addition of the ACES after school grant and the lengthening of lunch recess. The school plans to use these two times to introduce extra-curricular activities and sports. The position of ACES grant funded after care provider will be tasked with developing extra-curricular activities and sports in collaboration with teachers and support staff.

Student Survey

Significant findings in the student survey are about student wellbeing and student wants. Student wellbeing:

68% of students reported having a trusted adult on campus. Asked if they felt like they belong, are respected, and accepted, on a scale of 1 through 5 with one being no and 5 being yes, the average of the scores was 3.5. These responses can be characterized as hopeful but tepid. This is reflected in the LCAP with plans to:

- Continue the positions of Family Liaison and High School counselor
- Add the position of social worker to our staff.
- Increase training for staff in restorative practices and circles.
- Implement restorative circles into the daily routines of classrooms.
- Research and begin implementation of peer lead problem solving teams.

Student wants:

Students reported wanting the following at school:

- Drama/Acting/Theater
- Debate/Speech
- Photography
- Robotics
- Sports

The school is responding to this by planning to offer these as part of the after school program. For students that are not in the after school program, the school has lengthened the lunch recess for middle and high school students in order for students to meet in themed clubs and sports during lunch recess. The school will further survey students to find out which sports students prefer.

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
1	Basics & Conditions of Learning: Ensure the campus facilities are conducive to classroom and outdoor learning; the necessary materials are provided for project-based learning; and impactful standards-based instruction is regularly delivered by highly effective teachers and supported by administrative staff.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

- Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)
- Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)
- Priority 7: Course Access (Conditions of Learning)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

In order for students to achieve, they need a safe and positive learning environment, highly qualified teachers and administrative staff, and curriculum aligned to Common Core State Standards. Educational partner input identified a desire for continued indoor and outdoor learning, continued project-based learning, and differentiation for skills not yet mastered. To further standards based learning and effective differentiated learning, each student must have the age-appropriate learning device (e.g. iPad or Chromebook) to receive differentiated curriculum.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1.1	Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT) Report Score of "Good" LCFF Priority 1 Basics	Outdoor learning and project based learning facilities are in the development stage. Some electronic devices are aging.	We have continued to develop outdoor spaces. We have updated aging electronic devices by purchasing 100+ Chromebooks.	Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT) score is "Good" YES updated elementary art and creative play area in elementary and middle and high school area. Electronics are	Outdoor learning and project based learning facilities are fully developed. Electronic devices are new or near new.	Outdoor and PBL facilities are 75% improved over baseline. 30% of electronics are new and 60% are one year old.

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1.2	Percentage of teachers that will be appropriately credentialed & assigned LCFF Priority 1 Basics	25% have interim credential. 30% have PIP credential. 45% have full credential.	21% have STSP credentials. 7% have PIP credentials. 71% have full credentials.	66% of teachers are appropriately credentialed and assigned.	100% of teachers have full credentialed.	The percentage of appropriately credentialed teachers has moved from 45% to 66%.
1.3	Percentage of students who have access to standards-aligned instructional materials LCFF Priority 2. State Standards	100% of students have standards aligned ELA and mathematics materials. 100% have NGSS aligned science materials.	100% of students have standards aligned ELA and mathematics materials. 100% have NGSS aligned science materials.	100% of students have access to standards aligned instructional materials as measured by the Williams Visit.	100% of students have standards aligned ELA and mathematics materials. 100% have NGSS aligned science materials.	There is no change from baseline.
1.4	Percentage of students who have access to academic content standards LCFF Priority 2. State Standards	All students have access to academic content standards.	All students have access to academic content standards.	All students have access to academic content standards.	All students have access to academic content standards.	There is no change from baseline.
1.5	Number of teachers who have training in PLCs, ELA and math curriculum LCFF Priority 2. State Standards	Teachers have training in math curriculum. Teachers are scheduled to have training in newly adopted ELA curriculum and in the function of PLCs.	Teachers receive training in the math curriculum and the newly adopted ELA curriculum. All teachers participate in PLCs.	All teachers received training in ELA and math curriculum as well as training in PLCs.	Teachers will be trained in the math and ELA curricula. Teachers will be trained and work in PLCs a minimum of 2 times per month, divided among math and ELA	Teachers have training in all three areas from baseline in which they had training in 1 out of 3.
1.6	Percentage of students with access to a broad course of study	All students have a broad course of study.	All students have a broad course of study.	All students have a broad course of study.	All students have a broad course of study.	No change from baseline.

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	LCFF Priority 7. Broad Course of Study					
1.7	Percentage of students who have an individualized Learning Plan (ILP)	All students have an Individualized Learning Plan (ILP)	All students have an Individualized Learning Plan (ILP)	All students have an ILP.	All students have an Individualized Learning Plan (ILP)	No change from baseline.
1.8	Technology: Instructional Technology	All teachers have adequate laptops in good working condition.	All teachers have adequate laptops in good working condition.	All teachers have adequate laptops in good working condition.	All teachers have new model laptops in excellent working condition.	No change from baseline.
1.9	Percentage of students who have one to one Technology: Student Devices	All students students have a grade-appropriate electronic device . Examples: Chromebooks (replacement rotation), Chargers. Not all students have headphones.	All students students have a grade-appropriate electronic device . Examples: Chromebooks (replacement rotation), Chargers. Not all students have headphones	All students have a grade appropriate electronic device.	All students have a grade-appropriate electronic device . Examples: Chromebooks (replacement rotation), Chargers, headphones	No change from baseline.
1.10	Number of Professional Development opportunities provided for teachers.	All YES teachers are provided professional development in core math and ELA curriculum and SEL. No teachers have been provided PD in PLCs.	All YES teachers are provided professional development in core math and ELA curriculum and SEL. This is provided during PLC time weekly.	All teachers are provided professional development in core curriculum, SEL and PLCs.	All YES teachers are provided professional development in core math and ELA curriculum , SEL, and PLCs.	Training in PLCs has been added.

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

We continued to provide teachers with dedicated PLC time. There were no substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of actions.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were no significant difference between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures. .

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

We were effective in setting aside PLC time for training and collaboration.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

We will continue to use dedicated PLC time. Teacher leaders are developing and are becoming adept at organizing facilitating PLCs.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.1	Facilities, Safe and positive learning environment	YES Charter Academy will provide a safe and positive learning environment with appropriately maintained facilities, including outdoor learning spaces for general use and for CTE classes. Students will have one to one electronic devices.	\$259,688.00	Yes
1.2	Staffing: Certified Teachers including CTE and Administrators	Ensure highly-qualified teachers and administrators are available to all students. Staffing costs including salaries and benefits for the following employees: Classroom Teachers, CTE, Administrators and Special Education Teachers	\$1,499,724.00	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.3	Standards aligned instructional materials	Staffing costs including salaries and benefits for the following classified support staff: 1 Business Manager, 1 Attendance Clerk, 1 Administrative Assistant, 1 Family Liaison, 1 Custodian, 1 Maintenance 3 Full-time Aides	\$1,080,114.00	Yes
1.4	Implementation of academic content standards	Contract with Lighthouse to provide in-house Special Education Services: Speech/Language, School Psychologist, Occupational Therapy	\$125,000.00	Yes
1.5	System of Assessments - Formative & Summative	Multiple types of formative and summative assessments to monitor students' academic progress and identify strengths and needs in order to modify instruction and identify necessary interventions. Associated costs are as follows: iReady Assessments or other, Interim Comprehensive Assessments (ICA); Interim Assessment Blocks (IAB), curriculum embedded assessments.	\$25,000.00	No
1.6	Core Curriculum: Annual Replenishment of Consumables for ELA, Mathematics, Science, & History	Purchase of standards-aligned consumables for mathematics, science and English/language arts and history.	\$10,000.00	No
1.7	Software Licenses- Admin/Operations	Subscriptions for the following online programs and applications: PowerSchool, DocTracking DTS, Parent Square, Respond Edu, Read Naturally and others as needed.		No
1.8	Technology: Instructional Technology	Costs associated with upgrading of technology to ensure classroom instructional technology is aligned to 21st century teaching and learning. Teacher laptops	\$20,000.00	No
1.9	Technology: Student Devices	Students will be provided with a grade-appropriate electronic device to enhance learning in the classroom. Examples: Chromebooks (replacement rotation), Chargers, Headphones,	\$15,526.00	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.10	Professional Development	<p>YES will provide professional development in the following programs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Illustrative Math (by Instruction Partners) * Savvas ELA * Green Ninja Science * Social Emotional Learning * PLCs for math and ELA 	\$41,151.00	Yes

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
2	English/Language Arts: Ensure students are demonstrating annual growth and progress in the mastery of English Language Arts standards as demonstrated by an improvement in grades, local formative assessments, and state-mandated assessments.	Focus Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)

Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

The 2024 CAASPP performance in English/Language Arts demonstrated there has been a stagnation after growth the previous year in students' ability to apply their standards-based instruction to web-based state assessment (SBAC).

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
2.1	Students' growth on local iReady ELA and fluency assessments LCFF Priority 8 Pupil Outcomes	iReady ELA assessments and fluency assessments show opportunity for growth.	iReady ELA scores showed growth in all grade levels in ELA. This growth was reported to the YES COD monthly on the Academic Dashboard.	The school changed to IXL assessments. These showed most students making one year of ELA growth, but not two years of growth. We set a more supportive and realistic goal for students reading below grade level.	All students will show one year of growth on IXL ELA assessments. Students who are below grade level will receive intervention to make 10% over one year of growth.	IXL and fluency data shows improvement, but not all below grade level students reach grade level or showed over one year of growth.
2.2	Annual Growth on CAASPP ELA	2024 CAASPP assessments show opportunity for growth.	We just completed the 2025 CAASPP assessments and	64.6% students improved their	All students will meet the grade level standard, or	The CAASPP Dashboard shows that 3 out of 4

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	LCFF Priority 4 Pupil Achievement		are waiting for the results.	score from the prior year	increase one level from the previous year on the CAASPP ELA assessment.	subgroups made moderate growth.

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

We continued the goal of having all 2nd graders moving to 3rd grade as fluent readers. About 80% reached the goal. The TK-2nd grade PLC continued their focus on teaching phonemic awareness and phonology with fidelity to the program. School wide we focused on reading fluency with all grade levels showing gains in reading fluency.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were no significant material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Outside coaches from Instruction Partners were effective in helping YES set and achieve goals in 2nd grade reading fluency. IXL data documents this growth.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

We will continue to use IXL to assess reading proficiency and to identify students for reading intervention. We changed goal 2.1 from below grade level students making 2 years of growth to making one year plus 10% growth with intervention.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
2.1	Data informed ELA ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created and Monitored by ELA PLCs	Administer iReady and fluency ELA diagnostic assessments at the beginning of the year to all students to create individualized learning plans (ILPs). Based on the data, employ RtI to form targeted intervention groups or individual instruction. Meet in ELA PLCs to set ILP goals, monitor progress and modify instruction throughout the year. Disaggregate data by subgroups. **Costs for teachers and materials captured in Goal 1**		Yes
2.2	Writing Initiative	ELA PLCs will use standards based writing rubrics to assess all students' writing 3 times per year and give feedback to students. Teachers will administer CAASPP interim writing assessments, grade and give feedback to students. ELA PLCs will use data from writing assessments and CAASPP interim assessments to monitor progress and modify writing instruction throughout the year.		No

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
3	Mathematics & Science: Ensure students are demonstrating annual growth and progress in the mastery of state standards in mathematics and NGSS standards in science.	Focus Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)

Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

This goal was developed to provide a focus on student growth in the areas of mathematics and science as aligned with our instructional theme. We strive to apply our environmental theme to the area of mathematics in order for students to apply their learning at higher levels.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.1	Annual Growth on local iReady math assessments LCFF Priority 8 Pupil Outcomes	Current iReady math assessments show opportunity for continued growth.	The Academic Dashboard with data from iReady assessments shows significant growth in math achievement.	IXL data show growth in a majority of students	All students will score at grade level (green) or above, or show growth of two grade levels.	Moderate growth this year on the dashboard as opposed to opportunity for growth.
3.2	Annual Growth on CAASPP math LCFF Priority 4 Pupil Achievement	2024 CAASPP math assessments show opportunity for continued growth.	We just completed the 2025 CAASPP assessments and are waiting for the results.	Students in all four subgroups showed moderate growth on the CAASPP dashboard.	All students will score at meets standard level (green) or above, or show significant growth from the previous year's CAASPP math assessment.	Dashboard shows that 62.5% students improved their score from the prior year

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.3	Annual Growth on CAST science achievement LCFE Priority 4 Pupil Achievement	2024 CAST assessment shows room for continued growth.	We just completed the 2025 CAST assessments and are waiting for the results.	47.3 science points	5th and 8th grade students will show growth over the previous year's CAST scores.	Decline of 3.1 points

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

The IP math support team guided math teachers ask more effective questions of math students in order to guide students to develop their mathematical reasoning. A teacher leader led the math PLCs utilizing coaching from IP coach. There were no substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of the actions.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were no significant differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

The use of PLC time to internalize and practice math instruction strategies including teachers modeling math lessons for each other has been effective in increasing teacher efficacy.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

We are pleased with the growth and will set new math achievement goals based upon student achievement in math.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
3.1	Data informed Math ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created, Monitored and Modified by Math PLCs	Administer iReady math diagnostic assessments at the beginning of the year to all students to create individualized learning plans (ILPs). Based on the data employ RtI to form targeted intervention groups or individual instruction. Meet in math PLCs to set ILP goals, monitor progress by administering iReady 2 more times, and modify intervention groups and instruction based on data throughout the year. Disaggregate data by sub groups. **Costs for teachers and materials captured in Goal 1**		Yes
3.2	CAASPP Interim Assessment Practice Supported by Math PLCs	Math PCLs and CAASPP Coordinator will meet to create pacing guides to administer math CAASPP Interim assessments strategically throughout the year based on standards taught. Math PLC team members will use the data to modify math instruction. Disaggregate data by sub groups.	\$1,000.00	Yes
3.3	PBL Environmental Science Project	YES students will participate in their class PBL environmental science project preparation and presentation in a way that addresses authentic environmental issues, incorporates the scientific method of inquiry, and uses of mathematical reasoning.		No

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
4	Positive School Climate & Culture: Students increase engagement by partnering with local agencies, organizations, and businesses for project-based learning, service learning, and career technical education (CTE) that addresses authentic, environmental issues and incorporates the scientific method of inquiry.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

- Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
- Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)
- Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Educational partner input, including teachers, parents, and students, indicated a desire for project-based learning. Service learning is an effective way of developing successful project-based learning. Stakeholders at community agencies, organizations, and businesses, indicate a high level of need for and support of career technical education.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
4.1	Suspension Rate LCFF Priority 6 School Climate	Suspension Rate is 4%	Suspension Rate is 4%	4.3	Suspension Rate of 0%	Increased by 0.3
4.2	Expulsion Rate LCFF Priority 6 School Climate	Expulsion Rate is 0%	Expulsion Rate is 0%	Expulsion Rate is 0%	Expulsion Rate of 0%	No change
4.3	Increase attendance rates LCFF Priority 5 Pupil Engagement	Attendance Rate is 96%	Attendance Rate is 95%	Attendance Rate is 93%	Attendance Rate of 97%	Decrease of 3%
4.4	Chronic Absenteeism rates	Chronic Absenteeism Rate is 5%	Chronic Absenteeism Rate is 6%	Chronic Absenteeism Rate is 5.9%	Chronic Absenteeism Rate of 0%	Increased by .9%

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	LCFF Priority 5 Pupil Engagement					
4.5	Middle School Dropout Rates LCFF Priority 5 Pupil Engagement	Middle School Dropout Rate is 0%	Middle School Dropout Rate is 0%	0%	Middle School dropout Rate of 0%	No Change
4.6	CTE Program Implementation LCFF Priorities 5 Pupil Engagement & 8 Other Pupil Outcomes	YES to open CTE programs in the 2024-2025 school year.	YES opened CTE programs in the 2024-2025 school year.	YES has CTE open in 9th and 10th grades in the 2025-26 school year	High School Dropout Rate of 0% CTE fully implemented	CTE has two grades in operation up from baseline of zero.

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

We faced challenges in lowering the suspension rate and chronic absenteeism rate. We were successful in continuing CTE in 9th grade and opening CTE in 10th grade.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were no significant material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

The field trips and the addition of dual enrollment were particularly effective in engaging students in college and career planning.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Next year we will engage student government in a wider range of decision making.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
4.1	Strategies, Programs, & Activities to Promote Student Engagement	The school will schedule monthly school wide activities planned in partnership with the YES Student Council. Student Council members are elected by their peers and include a Spirit Coordinator and representative from each class. Additionally, YES will host student recognition assemblies at the end of each grading period/testing cycle for academic growth, PBIS (SPARRK) behavior, and attendance.		No
4.2	College and Career Explorations and Curriculum	Schedule field trips, assemblies, and guest speakers around college and career explorations. Purchase curriculum that samples varied careers from Career Technical Education (CTE), including, but not limited to Forestry and Natural Resources, Entrepreneurship, and Design, Visual and Media Arts.	\$8,000.00	Yes

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
5	Family Involvement & Engagement: Increase family involvement through school events focused on supporting their child(s) academic and personal success, as well as preparation for college and career.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

As we seek to increase family involvement and engagement, this goal has been developed for the 2023-24 academic year in order to highlight the desire for sustained involvement of our parents and families.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
5.1	Number of family members involved through input in decision-making via Site SSC and COD Committees LCFF Priority 3 Parent Involvement	2 to 3 parents participate in decision making meetings.	2 to 3 parents participate in decision making meetings.	3 to 4 parents participate in decision making meetings.	All parents will have access to decision making SSC and COD committees. 5 to 7 family members will regularly attend YES COD meetings and/or COD meetings and be involved in the decision making process.	There is a one person increase in parent participation in decision making meetings
5.2	Number of family members who attend opportunities for input in decision-making as well	Five annual workshops with an average of 5 participants each.	Three annual workshops with an average of 7 participants each.	Three annual meetings with an average of 9 participants each.	Nine annual programs/workshops with an average	Decrease from 5 to 3 meeting. Increase in the total number of

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	as participation in programs/workshops for families of unduplicated students and Students with Disabilities LCFF Priority 3 Parent Involvement				of 15 participants each.	participants from 25 to 27.

Goal Analysis [2025-26]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

We implemented fewer workshops and had higher than anticipated participation in each one.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were not significant material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Meetings were more effective when we called participants to invite them or asked them in person at pick up or drop off. .

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

We plan to hold fewer meetings with the expectation of more participation per meeting.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
5.1	Strategies, Programs, & Activities to Promote Parent/Guardian Engagement	<p>The Family Liaison will hold daytime Coffee with the Principal, and evening social game & movie nights.</p> <p>Meetings of the SSC will be published at the start of the year, and regularly advertised through our newsletter to increase the number of parents who engage in the decision-making bodies of the school. We also encourage parents to attend the monthly meetings of the Council of Directors.</p> <p>Parent Square and the online News and Information tab on the school website will be the primary vehicles to ensure all families and staff are aware of these events.</p> <p>** Costs for this action include hospitality and materials. Parent Liaison salary captured in Goal 1.**</p>	\$500.00	No

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students [2026-27]

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant
\$563,192	\$58,415

Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year	LCFF Carryover — Percentage	LCFF Carryover — Dollar	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year
26.571%	0.000%	\$0.00	26.571%

The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.

Required Descriptions

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
1.1	<p>Action: Facilities, Safe and positive learning environment</p> <p>Need: To support student achievement, students need a safe and positive learning environment</p> <p>Scope:</p>	Facilities provide a safe and positive learning environment. All students need a campus and facilities that are conducive to classroom and outdoor learning.	Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT) Report Score of "Good"

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Schoolwide		
1.2	<p>Action: Staffing: Certificated Teachers including CTE and Administrators</p> <p>Need: To support student achievement, students need teachers and administrators who are highly qualified.</p> <p>Scope: Schoolwide</p>	Certificated and CTE teachers provide instruction to students. Administrators provide support to teachers. All students need teachers and administrators who are highly qualified.	Percentage of teachers that will be appropriately credentialed & assigned.
1.3	<p>Action: Standards aligned instructional materials</p> <p>Need: To support student achievement, students need standards aligned instructional materials</p> <p>Scope: Schoolwide</p>	Standards aligned instructional materials are used to instruct students. All students need to have access to standards aligned instructional materials.	Percentage of students who have access to standards aligned materials.
1.4	<p>Action: Implementation of academic content standards</p> <p>Need: To support student achievement, students need access to the content standards</p> <p>Scope: Schoolwide</p>	Content standards drive instruction. All students need access to academic content standards.	Percent of students who have access to academic content standards.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
<p>1.9</p>	<p>Action: Technology: Student Devices</p> <p>Need: To support student achievement, students need access to technology devices.</p> <p>Scope: Schoolwide</p>	<p>Technology devices are used to deliver content and other essential functions of instruction. All students need access to electronic devices.</p>	<p>Percent of students who have access to electronic devices.</p>
<p>1.10</p>	<p>Action: Professional Development</p> <p>Need: To support student achievement, students need teachers who have been provided professional development.</p> <p>Scope: Schoolwide</p>	<p>Professional development for teachers makes them more effective at supporting student achievement. All students need teachers who have been trained through professional development.</p>	<p>Percent of teachers who have been trained through professional development.</p>
<p>2.1</p>	<p>Action: Data informed ELA ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created and Monitored by ELA PLCs</p> <p>Need: To support student achievement, students need to have assessments that show their current level of understanding and academic ELA goals based upon their current levels. They need a plan to reach their academic goals, and ongoing instruction and monitoring.</p>	<p>Data informed Individualized Learning Plans (ILPs) targeted interventions, and regular monitoring by PLCs support students in academic achievement. All students need to know their current levels of understanding, academic goals based upon those levels, and instruction and monitoring to reach their academic goals.</p>	<p>Students' growth on local IXL ELA and fluency assessments</p>

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	<p>Scope: Schoolwide</p>		
<p>3.1</p>	<p>Action: Data informed Math ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created, Monitored and Modified by Math PLCs</p> <p>Need: To support student achievement, students need to have assessments that show their current level of understanding and academic math goals based upon their current levels. They need a plan to reach their academic goals, and ongoing instruction and monitoring.</p> <p>Scope: Schoolwide</p>	<p>Data informed Individualized Learning Plans (ILPs) targeted interventions, and regular monitoring by PLCs support students in academic achievement. All students need to know their current levels of understanding, math academic goals based upon those levels, and instruction and monitoring to reach their academic goals.</p>	<p>Students' growth on local iReady math assessments</p>
<p>3.2</p>	<p>Action: CAASPP Interim Assessment Practice Supported by Math PLCs</p> <p>Need: To support all students to score meets standard level (green) or above, or show significant growth from the previous year's CAASPP math assessment, students need CAASPP Interim Assessment practice and feedback on the assessments given by math PLCs.</p> <p>Scope: Schoolwide</p>	<p>CAASPP math interim assessments and feedback from PLCs support students achievement on CAASPP math assessments. All students need support on the CAASPP math assessment.</p>	<p>Students' achievement on math CAASPP assessments</p>

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
4.2	<p>Action: College and Career Explorations and Curriculum</p> <p>Need: To support all students college and career readiness, students need field trips, assemblies, and guest speakers around college and career explorations.</p> <p>Scope: Schoolwide</p>	Field trips, assemblies, and guest speakers on the topic of college and career explorations supports students prepare for college and career. All students need to prepare for college and or career.	Increased attendance rates, low drop out rate.

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
-------------------	--------------------	---	------------------------------------

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

Services will be improved by ensuring more students are present for instruction with an increased ADA level, providing quality instruction to students through implementation of high quality twice monthly PLC work on improving student achievement by teaching staff, reducing the staff to student ratios with the use of paraprofessionals, and increasing use of student achievement data with the implementation of a standards aligned Mathematics and ELA curriculum. 2025-26 was a continued refinement year for the implementation of the Illustrative Math curriculum. It was the second year for the implementation of Savvas, the recently adopted ELA curriculum. Data analysis and intervention to ensure that all students experience academic success is centered in PLCs. Individual student data in math and ELA is managed by the Tech department in collaboration with site administrator, and monitored by PLCs, site administrator, and homeroom teacher in K-5 and subject single subject teacher in 7-11.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

YES has a foster youth and English learner concentration of less than 55 percent and a low-income concentration of over 55 percent. The 2024-25 CA Dashboard listed the school's low-income rate at 75%.

Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students	Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less	Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent
Staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students	NA	1:14
Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students	NA	1:9

2026-27 Total Planned Expenditures Table

LCAP Year		1. Projected LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage from Prior Year (Input Percentage)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)									
Totals		2,119,561	563,192	26.571%	0.000%	26.571%									
Totals		LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	Total Funds	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel				
Totals		\$2,427,552.00	\$590,363.00	\$1,000.00	\$66,788.00	\$3,085,703.00	\$2,579,838.00	\$505,865.00							
Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
1	1.1	Facilities, Safe and positive learning environment	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		\$0.00	\$123,589.00	\$136,099.00			\$259,688.00	\$259,688.00
1	1.2	Staffing: Certificated Teachers including CTE and Administrators	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		\$1,499,724.00	\$1,247,843.00	\$195,093.00		\$56,788.00	\$1,499,724.00	\$1,499,724.00
1	1.3	Standards aligned instructional materials	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		\$1,080,114.00	\$820,943.00	\$259,171.00			\$1,080,114.00	\$1,080,114.00
1	1.4	Implementation of academic content standards	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		\$0.00	\$125,000.00				\$125,000.00	\$125,000.00
1	1.5	System of Assessments - Formative & Summative	All	No			All Schools	Ongoing	\$0.00	\$25,000.00				\$25,000.00	\$25,000.00
1	1.6	Core Curriculum: Annual Replenishment of Consumables for ELA, Mathematics, Science, & History	All	No			All Schools		\$0.00	\$10,000.00				\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00
1	1.7	Software Licenses- Admin/Operations	All	No			All Schools								
1	1.8	Technology: Instructional Technology	All	No			All Schools		\$0.00	\$20,000.00				\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00
1	1.9	Technology: Student Devices	English Learners Low Income	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Low Income	All Schools		\$0.00	\$5,526.00			\$10,000.00	\$15,526.00	\$15,526.00
1	1.10	Professional Development	English Learners Low Income	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Low Income	All Schools		\$0.00	\$41,151.00				\$41,151.00	\$41,151.00

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
2	2.1	Data informed ELA ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created and Monitored by ELA PLCs	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools									
2	2.2	Writing Initiative	All	No			All Schools									
3	3.1	Data informed Math ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created, Monitored and Modified by Math PLCs	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools									
3	3.2	CAASPP Interim Assessment Practice Supported by Math PLCs	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		\$0.00	\$1,000.00			\$1,000.00		\$1,000.00	
3	3.3	PBL Environmental Science Project	All	No			All Schools									
4	4.1	Strategies, Programs, & Activities to Promote Student Engagement	All	No			All Schools									
4	4.2	College and Career Explorations and Curriculum	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$8,000.00				\$8,000.00	
5	5.1	Strategies, Programs, & Activities to Promote Parent/Guardian Engagement	All	No			All Schools		\$0.00	\$500.00	\$500.00				\$500.00	

2026-27 Contributing Actions Table

1. Projected LCFF Base Grant	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)	Totals by Type	Total LCFF Funds
2,119,561	563,192	26.571%	0.000%	26.571%	\$2,372,052.00	0.000%	111.912 %	Total:	\$2,372,052.00
								LEA-wide Total:	\$0.00
								Limited Total:	\$0.00
								Schoolwide Total:	\$2,372,052.00

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
1	1.1	Facilities, Safe and positive learning environment	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$123,589.00	
1	1.2	Staffing: Certificated Teachers including CTE and Administrators	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$1,247,843.00	
1	1.3	Standards aligned instructional materials	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$820,943.00	
1	1.4	Implementation of academic content standards	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$125,000.00	
1	1.9	Technology: Student Devices	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Low Income	All Schools	\$5,526.00	
1	1.10	Professional Development	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Low Income	All Schools	\$41,151.00	
2	2.1	Data informed ELA ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created and Monitored by ELA PLCs	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
3	3.1	Data informed Math ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created, Monitored and Modified by Math PLCs	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		
3	3.2	CAASPP Interim Assessment Practice Supported by Math PLCs	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		
4	4.2	College and Career Explorations and Curriculum	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$8,000.00	

2025-26 Annual Update Table

Totals	Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Total Estimated Expenditures (Total Funds)
Totals	\$3,085,703.00	\$0.00

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
1	1.1	Facilities, Safe and positive learning environment	Yes	\$259,688.00	
1	1.2	Staffing: Certificated Teachers including CTE and Administrators	Yes	\$1,499,724.00	
1	1.3	Standards aligned instructional materials	Yes	\$1,080,114.00	
1	1.4	Implementation of academic content standards	Yes	\$125,000.00	
1	1.5	System of Assessments - Formative & Summative	No	\$25,000.00	
1	1.6	Core Curriculum: Annual Replenishment of Consumables for ELA, Mathematics, Science, & History	No	\$10,000.00	
1	1.7	Software Licenses-Admin/Operations	No		
1	1.8	Technology: Instructional Technology	No	\$20,000.00	
1	1.9	Technology: Student Devices	Yes	\$15,526.00	
1	1.10	Professional Development	Yes	\$41,151.00	

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
2	2.1	Data informed ELA ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created and Monitored by ELA PLCs	Yes		
2	2.2	Writing Initiative	No		
3	3.1	Data informed Math ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created, Monitored and Modified by Math PLCs	Yes		
3	3.2	CAASPP Interim Assessment Practice Supported by Math PLCs	Yes		
3	3.3	PBL Environmental Science Project	No	\$1,000.00	
4	4.1	Strategies, Programs, & Activities to Promote Student Engagement	No		
4	4.2	College and Career Explorations and Curriculum	Yes	\$8,000.00	
5	5.1	Strategies, Programs, & Activities to Promote Parent/Guardian Engagement	No	\$500.00	

2025-26 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

6. Estimated LCF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	7. Total Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	8. Total Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)	
	\$2,372,052.00	\$0.00	\$2,372,052.00	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	
Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
1	1.1	Facilities, Safe and positive learning environment	Yes	\$123,589.00			
1	1.2	Staffing: Certificated Teachers including CTE and Administrators	Yes	\$1,247,843.00			
1	1.3	Standards aligned instructional materials	Yes	\$820,943.00			
1	1.4	Implementation of academic content standards	Yes	\$125,000.00			
1	1.9	Technology: Student Devices	Yes	\$5,526.00			
1	1.10	Professional Development	Yes	\$41,151.00			
2	2.1	Data informed ELA ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created and Monitored by ELA PLCs	Yes				
3	3.1	Data informed Math ILPs, Goals, & Interventions Created, Monitored and Modified by Math PLCs	Yes				
3	3.2	CAASPP Interim Assessment Practice Supported by Math PLCs	Yes				
4	4.2	College and Career Explorations and Curriculum	Yes	\$8,000.00			

2025-26 LCFF Carryover Table

9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)	7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)	11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)	12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)	13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)
1,846,059		0	0.000%	\$0.00	0.000%	0.000%	\$0.00	0.000%

Local Control and Accountability Plan Instructions

[Plan Summary](#)

[Engaging Educational Partners](#)

[Goals and Actions](#)

[Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students](#)

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

Introduction and Instructions

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- **Comprehensive Strategic Planning:** The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (California Education Code [EC] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.
- **Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners:** The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.
- **Accountability and Compliance:** The LCAP serves an important accountability function because the nature of some LCAP template sections require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:
 - Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (EC Section 52064[b][4-6]).
 - Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (EC sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).
 - **NOTE:** As specified in EC Section 62064(b)(1), the LCAP must provide a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified pursuant to EC Section 52052, to be achieved for each of the state priorities. Beginning in 2023–24, EC

Section 52052 identifies long-term English learners as a separate and distinct pupil subgroup with a numerical significance at 15 students.

- Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (EC Section 52064[b]7).
- Ensuring that all increases attributable to supplemental and concentration grant calculations, including concentration grant add-on funding and/or LCFF carryover, are reflected in the LCAP (EC sections 52064[b]6, [8], and [11]).

The LCAP template, like each LEA's final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which must: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in EC sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2024–25, 2025–26, and 2026–27 school years reflects statutory changes made through Senate Bill 114 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), Chapter 48, Statutes of 2023 and Senate Bill 153, Chapter 38, Statutes of 2024.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA's diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

- Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the Dashboard, how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions which, based on research, experience, and input gathered from educational partners, the LEA believes will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP document. Additionally, the beginning of each template section includes information emphasizing the purpose that section serves.

Plan Summary

Purpose

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to present a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included throughout each subsequent section of the LCAP.

Requirements and Instructions

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA. LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.

Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA.

- For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information the LEA may wish to include can enable a reader to more fully understand the LEA's LCAP.
- LEAs may also provide information about their strategic plan, vision, etc.
- As part of this response, identify all schools within the LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funding.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Reflect on the LEA's annual performance on the Dashboard and local data. This may include both successes and challenges identified by the LEA during the development process.

LEAs are encouraged to highlight how they are addressing the identified needs of student groups, and/or schools within the LCAP as part of this response.

As part of this response, the LEA must identify the following, which will remain unchanged during the three-year LCAP cycle:

- Any school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard;
- Any student group within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard; and/or
- Any student group within a school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard.

EC Section 52064.4 requires that an LEA that has unexpended Learning Recovery Emergency Block Grant (LREBG) funds must include one or more actions funded with LREBG funds within the 2026-27, 2026-27 and 2027-28 LCAPs, as applicable to the LEA. To implement the requirements of EC Section 52064.4, all LEAs must do the following:

- For the 2025–26, 2026–27, and 2027–28 LCAP years, identify whether or not the LEA has unexpended LREBG funds for the applicable LCAP year.
 - If the LEA has unexpended LREBG funds the LEA must provide the following:
 - The goal and action number for each action that will be funded, either in whole or in part, with LREBG funds; and
 - An explanation of the rationale for selecting each action funded with LREBG funds. This explanation must include:
 - An explanation of how the action is aligned with the allowable uses of funds identified in [EC Section 32526\(c\)\(2\)](#); and
 - An explanation of how the action is expected to address the area(s) of need of students and schools identified in the needs assessment required by [EC Section 32526\(d\)](#).
 - For information related to the allowable uses of funds and the required needs assessment, please see the Program Information tab on the [LREBG Program Information](#) web page.
 - Actions may be grouped together for purposes of these explanations.
 - The LEA may provide these explanations as part of the action description rather than as part of the Reflections: Annual Performance.
 - If the LEA does not have unexpended LREBG funds, the LEA is not required to conduct the needs assessment required by EC Section 32627(d), to provide the information identified above or to include actions funded with LREBG funds within the 2026-27, 2026-27 and 2027-28 LCAPs.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Annually identify the reason(s) the LEA is eligible for or has requested technical assistance consistent with EC sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, and provide a summary of the work underway as part of receiving technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance, however this also includes LEAs that have requested technical assistance from their COE.

- If the LEA is not eligible for or receiving technical assistance, the LEA may respond to this prompt as “Not Applicable.”

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

- Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

- Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

- Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

Engaging Educational Partners Purpose

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public to understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

Requirements Requirements

School districts and COEs: [EC Section 52060\(g\)](#) and [EC Section 52066\(g\)](#) specify the educational partners that must be consulted when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,

- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Local bargaining units of the LEA,
- Parents, and
- Students

A school district or COE receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the applicable committees, as identified below under Requirements and Instructions. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

Charter schools: [EC Section 47606.5\(d\)](#) requires that the following educational partners be consulted with when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Parents, and
- Students

A charter school receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at the school generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for the school.

The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals. Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the [CDE's LCAP webpage](#).

Before the governing board/body of an LEA considers the adoption of the LCAP, the LEA must meet the following legal requirements:

- For school districts, see [Education Code Section 52062](#);
- **Note:** Charter schools using the LCAP as the School Plan for Student Achievement must meet the requirements of EC Section 52062(a).
- For COEs, see [Education Code Section 52068](#); and
- For charter schools, see [Education Code Section 47606.5](#).

- **NOTE:** As a reminder, the superintendent of a school district or COE must respond, in writing, to comments received by the applicable committees identified in the *Education Code* sections listed above. This includes the parent advisory committee and may include the English learner parent advisory committee and, as of July 1, 2024, the student advisory committee, as applicable.

Instructions

Respond to the prompts as follows:

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Complete the table as follows:

Educational Partners

Identify the applicable educational partner(s) or group(s) that were engaged in the development of the LCAP.

Process for Engagement

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve the identified educational partner(s) in the development of the LCAP. At a minimum, the LEA must describe how it met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA's philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also include a summary of how it consulted with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Describe any goals, metrics, actions, or budgeted expenditures in the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback.

- A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must include a description of how the consultation with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds influenced the development of the adopted LCAP.
- For the purposes of this prompt, this may also include, but is not necessarily limited to:
 - Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
 - Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
 - Determination of the target outcome on one or more metrics
 - Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
 - Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
 - Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
 - Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
 - Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated students
 - Analysis of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
 - Analysis of material differences in expenditures
 - Analysis of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
 - Analysis of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

Goals and Actions

Purpose

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal must be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

Requirements and Instructions

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs must consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard, in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP. As previously stated, strategic planning that

is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students, and to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- **Focus Goal:** A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.
 - All Equity Multiplier goals must be developed as focus goals. For additional information, see Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding below.
- **Broad Goal:** A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.
- **Maintenance of Progress Goal:** A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

Requirement to Address the LCFF State Priorities

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics articulated in *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d), as applicable to the LEA. The [LCFF State Priorities Summary](#) provides a summary of *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d) to aid in the development of the LCAP.

Respond to the following prompts, as applicable:

Focus Goal(s)

Description

The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound.

- An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach.
- The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding

Description

LEAs receiving Equity Multiplier funding must include one or more focus goals for each school generating Equity Multiplier funding. In addition to addressing the focus goal requirements described above, LEAs must adhere to the following requirements.

Focus goals for Equity Multiplier schoolsites must address the following:

- (A) All student groups that have the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard, and
- (B) Any underlying issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.
- Focus Goals for each and every Equity Multiplier schoolsite must identify specific metrics for each identified student group, as applicable.
- An LEA may create a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites if those schoolsites have the same student group(s) performing at the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard or, experience similar issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators.
 - When creating a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites, the goal must identify the student groups and the performance levels on the Dashboard that the Focus Goal is addressing; or,
 - The common issues the schoolsites are experiencing in credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as an Equity Multiplier Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.
- In addition to this information, the LEA must also identify:
 - The school or schools to which the goal applies

LEAs are encouraged to approach an Equity Multiplier goal from a holistic standpoint, considering how the goal might maximize student outcomes through the use of LCFF and other funding in addition to Equity Multiplier funds.

- Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELO-P), the Literacy Coaches and Reading Specialists (LCRS) Grant Program, and/or the California Community Schools Partnership Program (CCSPP).
- This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.

Note: [EC Section 42238.024\(b\)\(1\)](#) requires that Equity Multiplier funds be used for the provision of evidence-based services and supports for students. Evidence-based services and supports are based on objective evidence that has informed the design of the service or support and/or guides the modification of those services and supports. Evidence-based supports and strategies are most commonly based on educational research and/or metrics of LEA, school, and/or student performance.

Broad Goal

Description

Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal.

- The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal.

- The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner.
- A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Broad Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

Maintenance of Progress Goal

Description

Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP.

- Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP.
- The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Maintenance of Progress Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

Measuring and Reporting Results:

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes.

- LEAs must identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that address and reduce disparities in outcomes between student groups.
- The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA's LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year, as applicable to the type of LEA.
- To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant local indicator self-reflection tools within the Dashboard.
- **Required metrics for LEA-wide actions:** For each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
 - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section, however the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.
- **Required metrics for Equity Multiplier goals:** For each Equity Multiplier goal, the LEA must identify:
 - The specific metrics for each identified student group at each specific schoolsite, as applicable, to measure the progress toward the goal, and/or
 - The specific metrics used to measure progress in meeting the goal related to credentialing, subject matter preparation, or educator retention at each specific schoolsite.
- **Required metrics for actions supported by LREBG funds:** To implement the requirements of EC Section 52064.4, LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include at least one metric to monitor the impact of each action funded with LREBG funds included in the goal.
 - The metrics being used to monitor the impact of each action funded with LREBG funds are not required to be new metrics; they may be metrics that are already being used to measure progress towards goals and actions included in the LCAP.

Complete the table as follows:

Metric #

- Enter the metric number.

Metric

- Identify the standard of measure being used to determine progress towards the goal and/or to measure the effectiveness of one or more actions associated with the goal.

Baseline

- Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2024–25.
 - Use the most recent data associated with the metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2023 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available data (e.g., high school graduation rate).
 - Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS.
 - Indicate the school year to which the baseline data applies.
 - The baseline data must remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.
 - This requirement is not intended to prevent LEAs from revising the baseline data if it is necessary to do so. For example, if an LEA identifies that its data collection practices for a particular metric are leading to inaccurate data and revises its practice to obtain accurate data, it would also be appropriate for the LEA to revise the baseline data to align with the more accurate data process and report its results using the accurate data.
 - If an LEA chooses to revise its baseline data, then, at a minimum, it must clearly identify the change as part of its response to the description of changes prompt in the Goal Analysis for the goal. LEAs are also strongly encouraged to involve their educational partners in the decision of whether or not to revise a baseline and to communicate the proposed change to their educational partners.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a new baseline each year, as applicable.

Year 1 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may provide the Year 1 Outcome when completing the LCAP for both 2025–26 and 2026–27 or may provide the Year 1 Outcome for 2025–26 and provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Year 2 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2026–27, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.

- Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may identify the Year 2 Outcome as not applicable when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 or may provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Target for Year 3 Outcome

- When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the target outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the three-year LCAP cycle.
- Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a Target for Year 1 or Target for Year 2, as applicable.

Current Difference from Baseline

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27, enter the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome, as applicable.
- Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP will identify the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 1 and/or the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 2, as applicable.

Timeline for school districts and COEs for completing the “**Measuring and Reporting Results**” part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2025–26 . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27 . Leave blank until then.

Goal Analysis:

Enter the LCAP Year.

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective towards achieving the goal. “Effective” means the degree to which the planned actions were successful in producing the target result. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

Note: When completing the 2024–25 LCAP, use the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update template to complete the Goal Analysis and identify the Goal Analysis prompts in the 2024–25 LCAP as “Not Applicable.”

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal, including relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.
 - Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.
 - This discussion must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal. “Effectiveness” means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the target result and “ineffectiveness” means that the actions did not produce any significant or targeted result.
 - In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
 - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
 - Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
 - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:

- The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
- How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

Actions:

Complete the table as follows. Add additional rows as necessary.

Action #

- Enter the action number.

Title

- Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables.

Description

- Provide a brief description of the action.
 - For actions that contribute to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA may include an explanation of how each action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA's goals for unduplicated students, as described in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.
 - As previously noted, for each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
 - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section; however, the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

Total Funds

- Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the action tables.

Contributing

- Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a “Y” for Yes or an “N” for No.
 - **Note:** for each such contributing action, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Services section to address the requirements in *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 [5 CCR] Section 15496 in the Increased or Improved Services section of the LCAP.

Actions for Foster Youth: School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant foster youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to foster youth students.

Required Actions

For English Learners and Long-Term English Learners

- LEAs with 30 or more English learners and/or 15 or more long-term English learners must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum:
 - Language acquisition programs, as defined in *EC* Section 306, provided to students, and
 - Professional development for teachers.
 - If an LEA has both 30 or more English learners and 15 or more long-term English learners, the LEA must include actions for both English learners and long-term English learners.

For Technical Assistance

- LEAs eligible for technical assistance pursuant to *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, must include specific actions within the LCAP related to its implementation of the work underway as part of technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance.

For Lowest Performing Dashboard Indicators

- LEAs that have Red Dashboard indicators for (1) a school within the LEA, (2) a student group within the LEA, and/or (3) a student group within any school within the LEA must include one or more specific actions within the LCAP:
 - The specific action(s) must be directed towards the identified student group(s) and/or school(s) and must address the identified state indicator(s) for which the student group or school received the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard. Each student group and/or school that receives the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard must be addressed by one or more actions.
 - These required actions will be effective for the three-year LCAP cycle.

For LEAs With Unexpended LREBG Funds

- To implement the requirements of *EC* Section 52064.4, LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include one or more actions supported with LREBG funds within the 2025–26, 2026–27, and 2027–28 LCAPs, as applicable to the LEA. Actions funded with LREBG funds must remain in the LCAP until the LEA has expended the remainder of its LREBG funds, after which time the actions may be removed from the LCAP.
 - Prior to identifying the actions included in the LCAP the LEA is required to conduct a needs assessment pursuant to [EC Section 32526\(d\)](#). For information related to the required needs assessment please see the Program Information tab on the [LREBG](#)

[Program Information](#) web page. Additional information about the needs assessment and evidence-based resources for the LREBG may be found on the [California Statewide System of Support LREBG Resources](#) web page. The required LREBG needs assessment may be part of the LEAs regular needs assessment for the LCAP if it meets the requirements of EC Section 32627(d).

- School districts receiving technical assistance and COEs providing technical assistance are encouraged to use the technical assistance process to support the school district in conducting the required needs assessment, the selection of actions funded by the LREBG and/or the evaluation of implementation of the actions required as part of the LCAP annual update process.
- As a reminder, LREBG funds must be used to implement one or more of the purposes articulated in [EC Section 32526\(c\)\(2\)](#).
- LEAs with unexpended LREBG funds must include one or more actions supported by LREBG funds within the LCAP. For each action supported by LREBG funding the action description must:
 - Identify the action as an LREBG action;
 - Include an explanation of how research supports the selected action;
 - Identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the impact of the action; and
 - Identify the amount of LREBG funds being used to support the action.

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

Purpose

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students as defined in EC Section 42238.02 in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be succinctly to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA’s description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

Please Note: For the purpose of meeting the Increased or Improved Services requirement and consistent with EC Section 42238.02, long-term English learners are included in the English learner student group.

Statutory Requirements

An LEA is required to demonstrate in its LCAP how it is increasing or improving services for its students who are foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income, collectively referred to as unduplicated students, as compared to the services provided to all students in proportion to the increase in funding it receives based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the LEA (EC Section 42238.07[a][1]), EC

Section 52064[b][8][B]; 5 CCR Section 15496[a]). This proportionality percentage is also known as the “minimum proportionality percentage” or “MPP.” The manner in which an LEA demonstrates it is meeting its MPP is two-fold: (1) through the expenditure of LCFF funds or through the identification of a Planned Percentage of Improved Services as documented in the Contributing Actions Table, and (2) through the explanations provided in the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.

To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are identified in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided across the entire LEA (LEA-wide action), provided to an entire school (Schoolwide action), or solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s) (Limited action).

Therefore, for *any* action contributing to meet the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA must include an explanation of:

- How the action is increasing or improving services for the unduplicated student group(s) (Identified Needs and Action Design), and
- How the action meets the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas (Measurement of Effectiveness).

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

In addition to the above required explanations, LEAs must provide a justification for why an LEA-wide or Schoolwide action is being provided to all students and how the action is intended to improve outcomes for unduplicated student group(s) as compared to all students.

- Conclusive statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.
- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

For School Districts Only

Actions provided on an **LEA-wide** basis at **school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent** must also include a description of how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Actions provided on a **Schoolwide** basis for **schools with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils** must also include a description of how these actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Requirements and Instructions

Complete the tables as follows:

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants

2026-27 Local Control and Accountability Plan for Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy

- Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. This amount includes the Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant.

Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant

- Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in EC Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(7).

LCFF Carryover — Percentage

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

LCFF Carryover — Dollar

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero (\$0).

Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEA's percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(7).

Required Descriptions:

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

If the LEA has provided this required description in the Action Descriptions, state as such within the table.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed.

An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards an unduplicated student group(s) when the LEA explains the need(s), condition(s), or circumstance(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) identified through a needs assessment and how the action addresses them. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis

Provide an explanation of how the action as designed will address the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed and the rationale for why the action is being provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis.

- As stated above, conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.
- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

Note for COEs and Charter Schools: In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

If the LEA has provided the required descriptions in the Action Descriptions, state as such.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served identified through the LEA's needs assessment. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)

Provide an explanation of how the action is designed to address the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

- For each action with an identified Planned Percentage of Improved Services, identify the goal and action number and describe the methodology that was used.
- When identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.
- For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Total Planned Expenditures Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in EC Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:

- An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.

- Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.
- An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as a single-school LEA or an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.
- In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
 - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
 - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.
- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
 - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
 - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

Action Tables

Complete the Total Planned Expenditures Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Total Planned Expenditures Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. The word “input” has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2024–25 LCAP, 2024–25 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2023–24 will be the current LCAP Year.

Total Planned Expenditures Table

In the Total Planned Expenditures Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- **LCAP Year:** Identify the applicable LCAP Year.
- **1. Projected LCFF Base Grant:** Provide the total amount estimated LCFF entitlement for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs.

See EC sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- **2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.
- **3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.
- **LCFF Carryover — Percentage:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).
- **Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover —

Percentage. *This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.*

- **Goal #:** Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.
- **Action #:** Enter the action's number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.
- **Action Title:** Provide a title of the action.
- **Student Group(s):** Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering "All," or by entering a specific student group or groups.
- **Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?:** Type "Yes" if the action is included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement; OR, type "No" if the action is **not** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement.
- If "Yes" is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:
 - **Scope:** The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.
 - **Unduplicated Student Group(s):** Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups. Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.
 - **Location:** Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools." If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans." Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.
- **Time Span:** Enter "ongoing" if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter "1 Year," or "2 Years," or "6 Months."
- **Total Personnel:** Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.
- **Total Non-Personnel:** This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.

- **LCFF Funds:** Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA's total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).
- **Note:** For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement, it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.
- **Other State Funds:** Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
 - **Note:** Equity Multiplier funds must be included in the "Other State Funds" category, not in the "LCFF Funds" category. As a reminder, Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP. This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LEA's LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.
- **Local Funds:** Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Federal Funds:** Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Total Funds:** This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.
- **Planned Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.
 - As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Contributing Actions Table

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses.

Annual Update Table

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures:** Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- **Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions:** Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.
- **Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).
 - Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been \$169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of \$169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

LCFF Carryover Table

- **9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant:** Provide the total amount of estimated LCFF Target Entitlement for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program,

the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs. See EC sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year:** This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

Calculations in the Action Tables

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

Contributing Actions Table

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
 - This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column.
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services**
 - This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)**
 - This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

Pursuant to EC Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display “Not Required.”

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants**

- This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
 - This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**
 - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)**
 - This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4).
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
 - This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
 - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)**
 - This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

LCFF Carryover Table

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 plus Carryover %)**
 - This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.
- **11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)**
 - This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).
- **12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)**

- If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.

The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.

- **13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)**

- This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).

California Department of Education
November 2024

Proposition 28: Arts and Music in Schools Funding

Annual Report

Fiscal Year 2025-26

Name: Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy

CDS Code: 5810587-0117242

Charter School Number: 990

Allocation Year: 2025-26, 2024-25, 2023-24

1. Narrative description of the Prop 28 arts education program(s) funded. (2500 character limit).

YES charter used Prop 28 funds to pay credentialed teachers to teach our students in Art and Music. They followed state standards for the subjects taught.

2. Number of full-time equivalent teachers (certificated). 2.0

3. Number of full-time equivalent personnel (classified). 0.0

4. Number of full-time equivalent teaching aides. 0.0

5. Number of students served. 150

6. Number of school sites providing arts education. 1

Date of Approval by Governing Board/Body 6/25/2026 3:49:31 PM

Annual Report Data URL

<https://yescharteracademy.org/documents/>

2026–27 Certification of Assurances

Submission of Certification of Assurances is required every fiscal year. A complete list of legal and program assurances for the fiscal year can be found at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/co/ca26assurancesoc.asp>.

CDE Program Contact:

Consolidated Application Support Desk, Education Data Office, ConAppSupport@cde.ca.gov, 916-319-0297

Consolidated Application Certification Statement

I hereby certify that all of the applicable state and federal rules and regulations will be observed by this applicant; that to the best of my knowledge the information contained in this application is correct and complete; and I agree to participate in the monitoring process regarding the use of these funds according to the standards and criteria set forth by the California Department of Education Federal Program Monitoring (FPM) Office. Legal assurances for all programs are accepted as the basic legal condition for the operation of selected projects and programs and copies of assurances are retained on site. I certify that we accept all assurances except for those for which a waiver has been obtained or requested. A copy of all waivers or requests is on file. I certify that actual ink signatures for this form are on file.

Authorized Representative's Full Name	Louise Miller
Authorized Representative's Signature	
Authorized Representative's Title	Principal / Superintendent
Authorized Representative's Signature Date	06/23/2026

*****Warning*****

The data in this report may be protected by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) and other applicable data privacy laws. Unauthorized access or sharing of this data may constitute a violation of both state and federal law.

2026–27 Protected Prayer Certification

Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) Section 8524 specifies federal requirements regarding constitutionally protected prayer in public elementary and secondary schools. This form meets the annual requirement and provides written certification.

CDE Program Contact:

Carrie Lopes, Title I Policy, Program, and Support Office, CLopes@cde.ca.gov, 916-319-0126

Protected Prayer Certification Statement

The local educational agency (LEA) hereby assures and certifies to the California State Board of Education that the LEA has no policy that prevents, or otherwise denies participation in, constitutionally protected prayer in public schools as set forth in the "Guidance on Constitutionally Protected Prayer in Public Elementary and Secondary Schools."

The LEA hereby assures that this page has been printed and contains an ink signature. The ink signature copy shall be made available to the California Department of Education upon request or as part of an audit, a compliance review, or a complaint investigation.

The authorized representative agrees to the above statement	Yes
Authorized Representative's Full Name	Louise Miller
Authorized Representative's Title	Principal / Superintendent
Authorized Representative's Signature Date	06/18/2026
Comment	
If the LEA is not able to certify at this time, then an explanation must be provided in the comment field. (Maximum 500 characters)	

*****Warning*****

The data in this report may be protected by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) and other applicable data privacy laws. Unauthorized access or sharing of this data may constitute a violation of both state and federal law.

2026–27 LCAP Federal Addendum Certification

CDE Program Contact:

Local Agency Systems Support Office, LCAPAddendum@cde.ca.gov, 916-323-5233

Initial Application

To receive initial funding under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), a local educational agency (LEA) must have a plan approved by the State Educational Agency on file with the State. Within California, LEAs that apply for ESSA funds for the first time are required to complete the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), the LCAP Federal Addendum Template (Addendum), and the Consolidated Application (ConApp). The LCAP, in conjunction with the Addendum and the ConApp, serve to meet the requirements of the ESSA LEA Plan.

In order to initially apply for funds, the LEA must certify that the current LCAP has been approved by the local governing board or governing body of the LEA. As part of this certification, the LEA agrees to submit the LCAP Federal Addendum, that has been approved by the local governing board or governing body of the LEA, to the California Department of Education (CDE) and acknowledges that the LEA agrees to work with the CDE to ensure that the Addendum addresses all required provisions of the ESSA programs for which they are applying for federal education funds.

Returning Application

If the LEA certified a prior year LCAP Federal Addendum Certification data collection form in the Consolidated Application and Reporting System, then the LEA may use in this form the same original approval or adoption date used in the prior year form.

County Office of Education (COE) or District For a COE, enter the original approval date as the day the CDE approved the current LCAP. For a district, enter the original approval date as the day the COE approved the current LCAP	
Direct Funded Charter Enter the adoption date of the current LCAP	06/26/2024
Authorized Representative's Full Name	Louise Miller
Authorized Representative's Title	Principal / Superintendent

*****Warning*****

The data in this report may be protected by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) and other applicable data privacy laws. Unauthorized access or sharing of this data may constitute a violation of both state and federal law.

2026–27 Application for Funding

CDE Program Contact:

Consolidated Application Support Desk, Education Data Office, ConAppSupport@cde.ca.gov, 916-319-0297

Local Governing Board Approval

The local educational agency (LEA) is required to review and receive approval of their Application for Funding selections with their local governing board.

By checking this box the LEA certifies that the Local Board has approved the Application for Funding for the listed fiscal year	Yes
---	-----

District English Learner Advisory Committee Review

Per Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations Section 11308, if your LEA has more than 50 English learners, then the LEA must establish a District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC) which shall review and advise on the development of the application for funding programs that serve English learners.

By checking this box the LEA certifies that parent input has been received from the District English Learner Committee (if applicable) regarding the spending of Title III funds for the listed fiscal year	No
---	----

Application for Categorical Programs

To receive specific categorical funds for a school year, the LEA must apply for the funds by selecting Yes below. Only the categorical funds that the LEA is eligible to receive are displayed.

Title I, Part A (Basic Grant) ESSA Sec. 1111 et seq. SACS 3010	Yes
Title II, Part A (Supporting Effective Instruction) ESEA Sec. 2104 SACS 4035	Yes
Title II, Part A funds used through the Alternative Fund Use Authority (AFUA) Section 5211 of ESEA	No
Title III English Learner ESEA Sec. 3102 SACS 4203	No
Title III Immigrant ESEA Sec. 3102 SACS 4201	No
Title IV, Part A (Student and School Support) ESSA Sec. 4101 SACS 4127	Yes
Title IV, Part A funds used through the Alternative Fund Use Authority (AFUA)	No

*****Warning*****

The data in this report may be protected by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) and other applicable data privacy laws. Unauthorized access or sharing of this data may constitute a violation of both state and federal law.

2026–27 Application for Funding

CDE Program Contact:

Consolidated Application Support Desk, Education Data Office, ConAppSupport@cde.ca.gov, 916-319-0297

Section 5211 of ESEA	
Title V, Part B Subpart 1 Small, Rural School Achievement Grant ESSA Sec. 5211 SACS 5810	Yes
Title V, Part B Subpart 2 Rural and Low-Income School Grant ESSA Sec. 5221 SACS 4126	No

*****Warning*****

The data in this report may be protected by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) and other applicable data privacy laws. Unauthorized access or sharing of this data may constitute a violation of both state and federal law.

2026–27 Substitute System for Time Accounting

This certification may be used by auditors and by California Department of Education (CDE) oversight personnel when conducting audits and sub-recipient monitoring of the substitute time-and-effort system. Approval is automatically granted when the local educational agency (LEA) submits and certifies this data collection.

CDE Program Contact:

Hilary Thomson, Fiscal Oversight and Support Office, HThomson@cde.ca.gov, 916-323-0765

The LEA certifies that only eligible employees will participate in the substitute system and that the system used to document employee work schedules includes sufficient controls to ensure that the schedules are accurate.

Detailed information on documenting salaries and wages, including both substitute systems of time accounting, are described in Procedure 905 of the California School Accounting Manual posted on the CDE web site at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/ac/sa/>.

2026–27 Request for authorization	Yes
LEA certifies that the following is a full disclosure of any known deficiencies with the substitute system or known challenges with implementing the system (Maximum 500 characters)	There are no known deficiencies.

*****Warning*****

The data in this report may be protected by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) and other applicable data privacy laws. Unauthorized access or sharing of this data may constitute a violation of both state and federal law.

2026-27 LCFF Budget Overview for Parents Data Input Sheet

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name:	Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy
CDS Code:	58105870117242
LEA Contact Information:	Name: Louise Miller Position: Principal/Superintendent Email: lmiller@yescharteracademy.org Phone: (530) 692-2210
Coming School Year:	2026-27
Current School Year:	2025-26

*NOTE: The "High Needs Students" referred to in the tables below are Unduplicated Students for LCFF funding purposes.

	Amount Whole Numbers
Projected General Fund Revenue for the 2026-27 School Year	
Total LCFF Funds	\$
LCFF Supplemental & Concentration Grants	\$
All Other State Funds	\$
All Local Funds	\$
All federal funds	\$
Total Projected Revenue	\$0

	Amount Whole Numbers
Total Budgeted Expenditures for the 2026-27 School Year	
Total Budgeted General Fund Expenditures	\$
Total Budgeted Expenditures in the LCAP	\$
Total Budgeted Expenditures for High Needs Students in the LCAP	\$
Expenditures not in the LCAP	\$0

	Amount Whole Numbers
Expenditures for High Needs Students in the 2025-26 School Year	
Total Budgeted Expenditures for High Needs Students in the LCAP	\$
Actual Expenditures for High Needs Students in LCAP	\$

	Amount [AUTO-CALCULATED]
Funds for High Needs Students	
2026-27 Difference in Projected Funds and Budgeted Expenditures	\$0
2025-26 Difference in Budgeted and Actual Expenditures	\$0

Required Prompts(s)	Response(s) [FIELDS WILL APPEAR IF REQUIRED]
Briefly describe any of the General Fund Budget Expenditures for the school year not included in the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP).	



LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name: Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy

CDS Code: 58105870117242

School Year: 2026-27

LEA contact information:

Louise Miller

Principal/Superintendent

lmiller@yescharteracademy.org

(530) 692-2210

School districts receive funding from different sources: state funds under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), other state funds, local funds, and federal funds. LCFF funds include a base level of funding for all LEAs and extra funding - called "supplemental and concentration" grants - to LEAs based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learners, and low-income students).

Budget Overview for the 2026-27 School Year

Projected Revenue by Fund Source


Total LCFF funds
\$0
0%

This chart shows the total general purpose revenue Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy expects to receive in the coming year from all sources.

The text description for the above chart is as follows: The total revenue projected for Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy is \$0, of which \$ is Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), \$ is other state funds, \$ is local funds, and \$ is federal funds. Of the \$ in LCFF Funds, \$ is generated based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learner, and low-income students).

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

The LCFF gives school districts more flexibility in deciding how to use state funds. In exchange, school districts must work with parents, educators, students, and the community to develop a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) that shows how they will use these funds to serve students.



This chart provides a quick summary of how much Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy plans to spend for 2026-27. It shows how much of the total is tied to planned actions and services in the LCAP.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy plans to spend \$ for the 2026-27 school year. Of that amount, \$ is tied to actions/services in the LCAP and \$0 is not included in the LCAP. The budgeted expenditures that are not included in the LCAP will be used for the following:


Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in the LCAP for the 2026-27 School Year

In 2026-27, Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy is projecting it will receive \$ based on the enrollment of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy must describe how it intends to increase or improve services for high needs students in the LCAP. Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy plans to spend \$ towards meeting this requirement, as described in the LCAP.

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Update on Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in 2025-26

Prior Year Expenditures: Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students



This chart compares what Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy budgeted last year in the LCAP for actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students with what Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy estimates it has spent on actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students in the current year.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: In 2025-26, Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy's LCAP budgeted \$ for planned actions to increase or improve services for high needs students. Yuba Environmental Science Charter Academy actually spent \$ for actions to increase or improve services for high needs students in 2025-26.

YES 2026-2027

CATEGORY	2026-2027 INITIAL
TOTAL ENROLLMENT	200
AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE	188.0
State LCFF Revenue	2,866,517
Federal Revenue	269,500
Other State Revenue	1,509,333
Local Revenue	164,796
TOTAL REVENUE	4,810,146
Certificated Salaries	1,050,656
Classified Salaries	1,200,337
Benefits	560,796
TOTAL PERSONNEL EXPENSES	2,811,790
Books and Supplies	437,700
Services and Other Operating Expenses	1,193,862
Capital Outlay	228,114
Other Outgoing	9,888
TOTAL OTHER EXPENSES	1,869,564
TOTAL EXPENSES	4,681,354
SURPLUS\DEFICIT	128,792
% of Expenses	2.8%
BEGINNING FUND BALANCE	\$ 1,839,413
ENDING BALANCE	\$ 1,968,205
% of Expenses	42.0%

	2027-2028	2028-2029
	220	230
	209.0	218.5
\$	3,353,136	\$ 3,625,409
\$	278,063	\$ 286,346
\$	1,323,901	\$ 1,221,146
\$	170,235	\$ 175,495
\$	5,125,335	\$ 5,308,397
\$	1,081,576	\$ 1,109,109
\$	1,270,185	\$ 1,273,681
\$	573,960	\$ 580,206
\$	2,925,721	\$ 2,962,996
\$	437,144	\$ 450,652
\$	1,235,290	\$ 1,273,460
\$	235,642	\$ 242,923
\$	10,214	\$ 10,530
\$	1,918,290	\$ 1,977,565
\$	4,844,011	\$ 4,940,561
SURPLUS\DEFICIT	281,324	367,836
% of Expenses	5.8%	7.4%
\$	1,968,205	\$ 2,249,529
\$	2,249,529	\$ 2,617,365
% of Expenses	46.4%	53.0%

GRADE	2026-2027 INITIAL	2027-2028	2028-2029
ENROLLMENT BY GRADE			
TK	5	7	8
K	10	10	10
1	16	17	16
2	18	17	18
3	19	19	17
4	20	20	19
5	20	20	20
6	20	20	20
7	20	20	20
8	20	20	20
9	15	18	20
10	10	15	17
11	7	7	13
12	0	10	12
TOTAL	200	220	230

DAILY ATTENDANCE RATE			
TK	94.00%	95.00%	95.00%
K	94.00%	95.00%	95.00%
1	94.00%	95.00%	95.00%
2	94.00%	95.00%	95.00%
3	94.00%	95.00%	95.00%
4	94.00%	95.00%	95.00%
5	94.00%	95.00%	95.00%
6	94.00%	95.00%	95.00%
7	94.00%	95.00%	95.00%
8	94.00%	95.00%	95.00%
9	94.00%	95.00%	95.00%
10	94.00%	95.00%	95.00%
11	94.00%	95.00%	95.00%
12	94.00%	95.00%	95.00%
OVERALL AVG	94.00%	95.00%	95.00%

AVG DAILY ATTENDANCE BY GRADE			
TK	4.7	6.65	7.60
K	9.40	9.50	9.50
1	15.04	16.15	15.20
2	16.92	16.15	17.10
3	17.86	18.05	16.15
4	18.80	19.00	18.05
5	18.80	19.00	19.00
6	18.80	19.00	19.00
7	18.80	19.00	19.00
8	18.80	19.00	19.00
9	14.10	17.10	19.00
10	9.40	14.25	16.15
11	6.58	6.65	12.35
12	-	9.50	11.40
TOTAL	188.00	209.00	218.50

AVG DAILY ATTENDANCE BY GRADE RANGE			
TK-3	63.92	66.50	65.55
4-6	56.40	57.00	56.05
7-8	37.60	38.00	38.00
9-12	30.08	47.50	58.90
TOTAL	188.00	209.00	218.50

ACCT		ACCOUNT NAME		2026-2027 INITIAL		2027-2028		2028-2029	
LCFF		6.22.26 v27.1b		3.30%		3.09%			
8011	LCFF Revenues	1,841,179	2,194,912	2,395,979					
8012	Education Protection Account Revenue	500,297	574,535	619,210					
8019	Prior Year Income/Adjustments	-	-	-					
8096	Charter Schools Funding In-Lieu of Property Taxes	525,041	583,689	610,220					
80XX	---	-	-	-					
TOTAL LCFF REVENUE		2,866,517	3,353,136	3,625,409					

FEDERAL		3.30%		3.09%					
8220	Federal Child Nutrition Programs	164,800	170,238	175,499					
8285	Federal SPED	-	-	-					
8290	All Other Federal Revenue	26,216	27,081	27,918					
8291	Title I Federal Revenue	60,919	62,930	64,874					
8292	Title II	7,564	7,814	8,055					
8293	Title III Federal Revenue	-	-	-					
8294	Title IV	10,000	10,000	10,000					
8295	Title V Federal Revenue	-	-	-					
80XX	---	-	-	-					
TOTAL FEDERAL REVENUE		269,500	278,063	286,346					

OTHER FEDERAL REVENUE ACCT BREAKDOWN

8290	REAP Grant	26,216	27,081	27,918					
TOTAL OTHER FEDERAL REVENUE ACCT BREAKDOWN		26,216	27,081	27,918					

OTHER STATE		3.30%		3.09%					
8520	State Child Nutrition Program	79,310	81,927	84,459					
8550	Mandated Block Grant	4,956	5,120	5,278					
8560	State Lottery Revenue	51,324	53,018	54,656					
8590	All Other State Revenues	989,161	786,563	667,205					
8591	SB 740 Revenue	140,182	144,808	149,283					
8599	Prior Year State Income	-	-	-					
8791	SPED State/Other Transfers of Apportionments fro	-	-	-					
8792	SPED State/Other Transfers of Apportionments fro	244,400	252,465	260,266					
8596	ASES	-	-	-					
80XX	---	-	-	-					
TOTAL OTHER STATE REVENUE		1,509,333	1,323,901	1,221,146					

OTHER STATE REVENUE ACCT BREAKDOWN

8590							
8590	Prop 28 Arts & Music	35,480	36,651	37,784			
8590	State Mental Health	16,723	17,275	17,809			
8590	Equity Multiplier	263,442	272,136	280,545			
8590	Arts & Music BG	-	-	-			
8590	ELOP	273,370	282,391	291,117			
8590	Learning Recovery Emergency BG	40,729	-	-			
8590	Student Support & Professional Development BG	-	-	-			
8590	Learning Recovery Emergency BG - Repayment	13,160	13,160	-			
8590	K-12 Stong Workforce Program Round 7	125,000	-	-			
8590	K-12 Stong Workforce Program Round 8	125,000	125,000	-			
8590	Student Support DBG	96,256	39,950	39,950			
	TOTAL OTHER STATE REVENUE ACCT BREAKDOWN	989,161	786,563	667,205			

LOCAL			3.30%	3.09%
8639	Student Lunch revenue		-	-
8650	Rental Income		-	-
8660	Interest Income		-	-
8662	Net Increase/Decrease in Investment		-	-
8677	State Local SPED Revenue		-	-
8682	Foundation Grants/Donations	135,220	139,682	143,998
8684	Student Body (ASB) Fundraising Revenue		-	-
8685	School Site fundraising	8,976	9,273	9,559
8688	In Kind Contributions		-	-
8694	Field Trip Revenues		-	-
8698	E-rate Revenues	12,360	12,768	13,162
8699	All Other Local Revenue	8,240	8,512	8,775
8798	Income (Loss) from Ownership in Subsidiary		-	-
80XX	---		-	-
80XX	---		-	-
	TOTAL LOCAL REVENUE	164,796	170,235	175,495
	TOTAL REVENUE	4,810,146	5,125,335	5,308,397

1000 - CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES					
1100	Teachers' Salaries	876,905	902,733	925,781	
1120	Substitute Expense	21,000	21,630	22,279	
1200	Certificated Pupil Support Salaries	26,636	27,375	27,375	
1300	Certificated Supervisor and Administrator Salaries	126,115	129,839	133,674	
1900	Other Certificated Salaries	-	-	-	
10XX	---	-	-	-	
10XX	---	-	-	-	
TOTAL CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEE EXPENSES		1,050,656	1,081,576	1,109,109	

2000 - CLASSIFIED EMPLOYEES					
2100	Instructional Aide Salaries	273,483	307,697	308,481	
2200	Classified Support Salaries (Maintenance, Food)	406,880	426,914	426,914	
2300	Classified Supervisor and Administrator Salaries	87,776	90,409	93,122	
2400	Clerical, Technical, and Office Staff Salaries	331,588	341,536	341,536	
2900	Other Classified Salaries (Noon and Yard Sup, etc.)	100,610	103,628	103,628	
20XX	---	-	-	-	
20XX	---	-	-	-	
TOTAL CLASSIFIED EMPLOYEE EXPENSES		1,200,337	1,270,185	1,273,681	

3000 - EMPLOYEE BENEFITS					
3101	State Teachers' Retirement System, certificated pos	184,586	190,043	195,178	
3301	OASDI/Medicare Certificated, Unrestricted	20,457	21,051	21,491	
3302	OASDI/Medicare Classified	91,826	97,169	97,437	
3401	Health & Welfare Benefits, Certificated	136,980	136,980	136,980	
3402	Health & Welfare Benefits Classified	73,056	73,056	73,056	
3501	State Unemployment Insurance Certificated	9,534	9,534	9,534	
3502	State Unemployment Insurance Classified	14,982	15,436	15,436	
3601	Worker Compensation Insurance	13,711	14,115	14,474	
3602	Worker Compensation Insurance	15,664	16,576	16,622	
30XX	---	-	-	-	
30XX	---	-	-	-	
TOTAL EMPLOYEE BENEFITS EXPENSES		560,796	573,960	580,206	
TOTAL PAYROLL RELATED EXPENSES		2,811,790	2,925,721	2,962,996	

4000 - BOOKS AND SUPPLIES					3.30%	3.09%
4100	Approved Textbooks and Core Curriculum	40,000	41,320	42,597		
4200	Books and Other Reference Materials	3,320	3,430	3,536		
4215	CSI Materials	-	-	-		
4300	Materials and Supplies	42,230	43,624	44,972		
4315	Classroom Materials and Supplies	31,200	32,230	33,225		
4381	Materials for Plant Maintenance	14,400	14,875	15,335		
4382	Transportation Fuel & Related Parts	85,000	87,805	90,518		
4400	Noncapitalized Equipment	20,600	21,280	21,937		
4407	Student Educational Software	-	-	-		
4410	Software and Software Licensing	15,450	15,960	16,453		
4430	Noncapitalized Student Equipment	31,000	17,023	17,549		
4440	Student Event Materials	-	-	-		
4700	Food and Food Supplies	154,500	159,599	164,530		
40XX	---		-	-		
40XX	---		-	-		
TOTAL BOOKS AND SUPPLIES				437,700	437,144	450,652

5000 - SERVICES AND OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES					3.30%	3.09%
5100	Subagreements for Services	-	-	-		
5200	Travel and Conferences	10,000	10,330	10,649		
5206	Parking Expense	-	-	-		
5210	Training and Development Expense	90,063	93,035	95,910		
5300	Dues and Memberships	30,000	30,990	31,948		
5400	Insurance	64,890	67,031	69,103		
5450	Property Taxes	-	-	-		
5500	Operation and Housekeeping Services	38,000	39,254	40,467		
5501	Utilities	59,019	60,967	62,850		
5505	Student Transportation/Field Trips	-	-	-		
5600	Space Rental/Leases Expense	216,135	223,267	230,166		
5601	Building Maintenance	14,000	14,462	14,909		
5602	Other Space Rental	-	-	-		
5603	Engagement Space Rental	-	-	-		
5605	Equipment Rental/Lease Expense	25,832	26,685	27,509		
5610	Equipment Repair	5,150	5,320	5,484		
5621	Facilities Costs	-	-	-		
5710	Transfer of Direct Costs	-	-	-		
5800	Professional/Consulting Services and Operating Exp	74,924	77,396	79,788		

5803	Banking and Payroll Service Fees	26,523	27,398	28,244
5805	Legal Services	10,000	10,330	10,649
5806	Audit Services	25,235	26,068	26,873
5807	Legal Settlements	-	-	-
5809	Employee Tuition Reimbursement	-	-	-
5810	Educational Consultants	340,000	351,220	362,073
5811	Student Transportation	-	-	-
5812	Other Student Activities	2,000	2,066	2,130
5813	Residential Placement	-	-	-
5815	Advertising/Recruiting	5,150	5,320	5,484
5820	Fundraising Expense	4,862	5,022	5,177
5825	School Pathways	-	-	-
5830	Field Trip Expenses	7,210	7,448	7,678
5836	Transportation Services	-	-	-
5842	Services Student Athletics	-	-	-
5850	Scholarships Awarded	-	-	-
5873	Financial Services	90,000	95,000	97,936
5874	Personnel Services	1,215	1,255	1,294
5875	District Oversight Fee	28,665	29,611	30,526
5877	IT Services	8,384	8,661	8,928
5885	Summer School Expenses	-	-	-
5890	Interest Expense/Fees	425	439	453
5891	Charter School Capital Fees	-	-	-
5892	ASB Activities Expense	-	-	-
5894	ASB Activities Expense	-	-	-
5899	CMO Management Fee Expense	-	-	-
5900	Communications (Tele., Internet, Copies, Postage, M	16,181	16,715	17,231
5901	Scholar Internet Reimbursement	-	-	-
5998	Unallocated Credit Card Expense	-	-	-
5999	Expense Suspense	-	-	-
50XX	---	-	-	-
50XX	---	-	-	-
TOTAL SERVICES AND OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES		1,193,862	1,235,290	1,273,460

6000 - CAPITAL OUTLAY			3.30%	3.09%
6900	Depreciation Expense	228,114	235,642	242,923
6901	Amortization Expense	-	-	-
60XX	---	-	-	-
60XX	---	-	-	-
TOTAL CAPITAL OUTLAY EXPENSES		228,114	235,642	242,923

7000 - OTHER OUTGOING		3.30%	3.09%
7000	Miscellaneous Expense	-	-
7141	Special Education Encroachment District	-	-
7200	Other Transfer	-	-
7201	Write Off of Prior year assets	-	-
7299	All other Transfers	-	-
7310	Transfer of Indirect Costs	-	-
7438	Debt Service - Interest	9,888	10,530
7439	Debt Service Amortization	-	-
7619	Other Interfund Transfers out	-	-
70XX	---	-	-
70XX	---	-	-
TOTAL OTHER OUTGOING EXPENSES		9,888	10,214
TOTAL NON-PAYROLL EXPENSES		1,869,564	1,918,290
TOTAL EXPENSES		4,681,354	4,844,011
NET INCOME		128,792	281,324
			367,836

**YUBA ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE CHARTER ACADEMY
MEETING
COUNCIL OF DIRECTORS
MINUTES**

**9841 Texas Hill Road
Oregon House, CA
In Person**

May 28, 2026

Meeting start time is 3:15PM

Video call link: <https://meet.google.com/edt-ujnc-ika>

Or dial: (US) +1 636-429-2871 PIN: 745 520 743#

More phone numbers: <https://tel.meet/edt-ujnc-ika?pin=3057554023624>

Topic	Discussion	Action Taken
I. <u>PRELIMINARY</u>		
CALL TO ORDER	COD President Cook called a regular meeting of the YES Charter Academy to order at pm on May 28th, 2026 at 9841 Texas Hill Road, Oregon House, CA 95962.	CALLED TO ORDER: 3:20 pm
ATTENDANCE	Pam Cook, Wendy Underhill, Paul McGovern, , Lance Haliday, Yvette Rodrquez, Dianha De La Vara, Shelley Lehman, Deborah Hoerner are present Staff: Louise Miller, Amy Clawson, Brigett Diemer, Allison Leininger, Melonie Soland, Robin Ferguson, Jennifer Balkowitsch, Cheyenne Moore, Brigett Diemer, Robin Evingham, Amy Clawson, Guests: Rebecca Westall, Christian Finefeuiaki, Josie Rosas, Anthony Emmolo, Sandy Gracida, Samira Jahani, Desi Braudrick, Anastasia Jdanova, Rossalyn Johnson, Liz Edwards, Tila Hagerman, Cynthia Vaughan	QUORUM PRESENT- Pam Cook, Wendy Underhill, Paul McGovern, , Lance Haliday, Yvette Rodrquez, Dianha De La Vara, Shelley Lehman, Deborah Hoerner
ADOPTION OF April 30th, 2026 MINUTES	COD President Cook directed COD members to theApril 30, 2026 Minutes for their review and approval. Upon a motion by COD President Cook , duly seconded by COD Vice President seconded, , the Board unanimously approved the April 30, 2026 Minutes as presented.	MOTION: To approve April meeting minutes as amended Pam Cook SECOND: Wendy Underhill ROLL CALL VOTE: Pamela Cook – Aye Wendy Underhill-Aye Lance Haliday-Aye Yvette Rodriquez- Aye Shelley Lehman- Aye

		<p>Dianha De La Vara- Aye Deborah Hoerner- Aye</p> <p>MOTION APPROVED (7/0)</p>
<p><u>II. PUBLIC SESSION</u> Agenda items:</p>		
<p>A. Principal Report by Superintendent, Louise Miller</p>	<p>Louise Miller addressed the COD.</p>	<p>FOR INFORMATION ONLY</p>
<p>B. Public Comment</p>	<p>Melonie Soland, Christian Finefeuiaki, Cynthia Vaughan, Sandy Gracida, Cheyenne Moore, Amy Clawson, Liz Edwards</p>	
<p>C. The Staff Representative will address the COD</p>	<p>Lance Haliday addressed the COD.</p>	<p>FOR INFORMATION ONLY</p>
<p>D. The COD will consider for approval the Declaration of Need</p>	<p>The COD will consider for approval the Declaration of Need: <u>For Action</u> Louise Miller reported on the nature and need for a Declaration of Need.</p>	<p>MOTION: Pam Cook</p> <p>SECOND: Wendy Underhill</p> <p>ROLL CALL VOTE:</p> <p>Pamela Cook – Aye Wendy Underhill-Aye Lance Haliday-Aye Yvette Rodriquez- Aye Shelley Lehman- Aye Dianha De La Vara- Aye Deborah Hoerner- Aye</p> <p>MOTION APPROVED (7/0)</p>

<p>E.The COD will consider approval of the J 13 A waiver for ADA</p>	<p>Debbie Campbell reported on the nature and need for a J 13 waiver.</p>	<p>MOTION:Pam Cook SECOND: Yvette Rodriquez ROLL CALL VOTE: Pamela Cook – Aye Wendy Underhill-Aye Lance Haliday-Aye Yvette Rodriquez- Aye Shelley Lehman- Aye Dianha De La Vara- Aye Deborah Hoerner- Aye MOTION APPROVED (7/0)</p>
<p>F. Public Hearing – Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) 2026-27</p>	<p>Louise Miller presented an overview of the 2026027 LCAP, with a focus on how input from students, staff and parents influenced the development of the LCAP. She answered questions about the method of gathering input from stakeholders. She reported that this was primarily done through surveys.</p>	<p>FOR INFORMATION ONLY</p>
<p>Facilities Committee Report</p>	<p>Debbie Campbell reported on facilities.</p>	<p>FOR INFORMATION ONLY</p>
<p>Academic Committee Report</p>	<p>Louise Miller reported on academics.</p>	<p>FOR INFORMATION ONLY</p>
<p>Finance Committee Report</p>	<p>Paul McGovern reported on finance.</p>	<p>FOR INFORMATION ONLY</p>
<p>Adjournment</p>	<p>There being no further business for discussion, the meeting was adjourned. Upon a motion by President Pam Cook , duly seconded by Vice President Wendy Underhill, the Board unanimously adjourned the May 28,2026, YES Charter COD Meeting at 5:10 pm.</p>	